This article was downloaded by: [Selcuk Universitesi] On: 06 January 2015, At: 10:24 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK





Plant Biosystems - An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology: Official Journal of the Societa Botanica Italiana

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tplb20</u>

The ecological adaptability of four typical plants during the early successional stage of a tropical rainforest

P.L. Mao^{ab} , R.Z. $Zang^{b}$, H.B. $Shao^{ad}$, Y.D. Li^{c} , M.X. Lin^{c} & J.B. Yu^{a}

^a Key Laboratory of Coastal Zone Environmental Processes, Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Yantai Shandong 264003, P.R. China

^b Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Environment, The State Forestry Administration, Research Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing 100091, P.R. China

^c Research Institute of Tropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Guangzhou 510520, P.R. China

^d Institute for Life Sciences, Qingdao University of Science & Technology, Qingdao 266042, P.R. China

Accepted author version posted online: 29 Jan 2013. Published online: 22 Feb 2013.

To cite this article: P.L. Mao, R.Z. Zang, H.B. Shao, Y.D. Li, M.X. Lin & J.B. Yu (2014) The ecological adaptability of four typical plants during the early successional stage of a tropical rainforest, Plant Biosystems - An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology: Official Journal of the Societa Botanica Italiana, 148:2, 288-296, DOI: 10.1080/11263504.2013.770808

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2013.770808</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

The ecological adaptability of four typical plants during the early successional stage of a tropical rainforest

P.L. MAO^{1,2}, R.Z. ZANG², H.B. SHAO^{1,4}, Y.D. LI³, M.X. LIN³, & J.B. YU¹

¹Key Laboratory of Coastal Zone Environmental Processes, Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Yantai Shandong 264003, P.R. China; ²Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Environment, The State Forestry Administration, Research Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing 100091, P.R. China; ³Research Institute of Tropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Guangzhou 510520, P.R. China and ⁴Institute for Life Sciences, Qingdao University of Science & Technology, Qingdao 266042, P.R. China

Abstract

The ecological adaptability of four typical plants (two grasses: *Thysanolaena maxima* and *Miscanthus floridulus*; two shrubs: *Melastoma candidum* and *Melastoma sanguineum*) in the early successional stage of a tropical rainforest in Hainan Island of China was studied. Our purpose was to test the difference of the adaptive modes and ecological functions for four different functional groups. We measured the physiological parameters and morphological indexes to define the adaptability of the plants at this stage. Results showed that *T. maxima* possessed stronger water use ability, whose adaptation was mainly by the morphological architecture regulation strategy (by higher leaf self-shading). *M. floridulus* had greater water regulation ability and its adaptation was mainly through the physiological regulation strategy (by higher net photosynthetic rate (*A*) and water use efficiency). However, *M. candidum* and *M. sanguineum* integrated the morphological architecture and physiological strategies (by high *A* and leaf self-shading). According to the ecophysiological characteristics and adaptation modes, the plants in the early successional stage of the tropical rainforest in Hainan Island can be categorized into three functional groups: (1) physiological adaptation group, (2) morphological adaptation group, and (3) physiological and morphological integrated adaptation group.

Keywords: Ecological adaptation, functional group, morphological architecture, photosynthetic characteristics, water availability

Introduction

Ecological succession is an interacting complex of processes, accompanying the continuous changes of community energetics, structure, life history, nutrient cycling, selection pressure, and overall homeostasis (Odum 1969). In the early stages of ecological succession, the energy and biomass of ecosystem increase quickly, and the physical environments of plants improved step by step until it fit the later species (Odum 1969; Maestre et al. 2001). However, in the early successional stage, living environments

are difficult for plants to survive due to excessive light, lack of water, and scarce nutrient in the soil (García-Orth & Martínz-Ramos 2011). But the vast energy fixing by plants through strong photosynthetic capacity (Navas et al. 2010), root growth (Maestre et al. 2001, 2009), and litter decomposition (Wardle et al. 1998; Maestre et al. 2001) improves the habitat and accelerates the process of plant succession to a certain extent. Grasses can ameliorate the abiotic environment and facilitate the introduction of other species. However, shrubs show stronger effect on improving habitat conditions (Maestre et al.

Correspondence: R. Zang, Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Environment, The State Forestry Administration, Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing 100091, P.R. China. Tel: +86 10 62889546. Fax: +86 10 62884972. Email: zangrung@caf.ac.cn; H. Shao, Key Laboratory of Coastal Zone Environmental Processes, Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Yantai Shandong 264003, P.R. China. Tel: +86 0532 80423984. Fax: +86 0532 80422879. Email: shaohongbochu@126.com

2009; Cuesta et al. 2010) and attracting more seed dispersers (Hooper et al. 2005) than grasses, which play a more significant function in facilitating forest regeneration (Cuesta et al. 2010; Celis & Jose 2011; García-Orth & Martínz-Ramos 2011; Ortega-Pieck et al. 2011).

Plants in open environments are exposed to high irradiance, which frequently leads to not only photoinhibition (Long et al. 1994) but also high temperature, heat, and water deficit. In low temperature conditions, excessive solar radiation leads to more serious damage to plant performances (Bader et al. 2007; Vitale & Santo 2008). Under these circumstances, plants exhibit two general strategies: one physiological and the other structural or architectural. However, plants often combine these two strategies with different emphasis on each depending on the species and the environmental conditions (Valladares 1999). In the first strategy, the photosynthetic units are protected against excessive light intensity by physiological and biochemical processes, which involve photochemical reaction $(CO_2 \text{ assimilation, photorespiration, and Methler})$ reaction) and xanthophyll-dependent thermal dissipation (Murchie & Niyogi 2011). In this stage, plants have high-photosynthetic capacity (Navas et al. 2010), which is an important manner of using plenty of light energy through high-photosynthetic rate (Medrano et al. 2002). With increase in light intensity, air temperature increases whereas humidity decreases. Plants can improve transpiration rate by regulating stomatal conductance to maintain leaf temperature to an appropriate physiological extent (Larcher 1995; Nicotra et al. 2008). Excessive light, often occurring with high-leaf temperature or water deficit, is a major environmental stress leading to midday depression of photosynthesis (Valladares & Pearcy 1997). Cowan (1982) suggested that increase of transpiration demand lead to decrease of stomatal conductance and CO₂ assimilation (Mott & Parkhurst 1991). Because stomatal closure decreases photosynthetic rate, the photorespiration rate correspondingly increases with a decreasing CO2:O2 ratio, consuming plenty of light energy (Muraoka et al. 2000; Medrano et al. 2002). When the rate of photosynthesis reaches saturation, production of reactive oxygen species in the photosynthetic apparatus will increase due to absorbption of excess light (Li et al. 2009). The primary mechanism of plant leaves countering the increase of reactive oxygen species is by enhancing the activity of a complex of constitutive antioxidant enzymes (Guidi et al. 2008; Foyer & Shigeoka 2011).

It has been shown that plant structural avoidance of excessive irradiance may be crucial for survival under extreme conditions (Valladares & Pearcy 1997; Muraoka & Koizumi 2005). Photosynthetic photo flux density (PFD) intercepted on a leaf surface area is reduced by the change of the architecture of plants (Valladares & Pearcy 1998; Pearcy et al. 2005). In high-light environments, leaf angle and self-shading provide structural photoprotection, minimizing potential damage from photoinhibition (Pearcy et al. 2005). For relatively simple canopies, leaf angle and orientation are the main structural photoprotective features (Muraoka & Koizumi 2005), but mutual shading among leaves can be even more important in complex, multilayered canopies (Ramírez et al. 2008). Because of being more exposed to excessive light, outer layers of the canopy suffer more drastic changes in the abiotic conditions than inner layers (Niinemets 2007). Plant leaves show different ecophysiological traits at different positions in the canopy (Casas et al. 2011; Giorio & Nuzzo 2012). Without these structural protections, photoinhibition of photosynthesis is likely to be much greater, which leads to apparent reduction of daily carbon gain (Pearcy et al. 2005).

Recently, terrestrial plant ecologists have revived the idea of a functional classification in order to predict possible changes in the vegetation as a result of global climate change (Lavorel et al. 1997). Smith et al. (1993) coined the term "plant functional types", and Gitay and Noble (1997) defined it as "set of species showing similar responses to the environment and similar effects on ecosystem function". There have been some researches on plant functional groups (Wardle et al. 1998; Gebauer et al. 2002; Reich et al. 2003; Hanley et al. 2004; Chen et al. 2005; Golluscio & Oesterheld 2007; Letts et al. 2010; Kamiyama et al. 2010). The usual groupings are defined by discrete and measurable biological trait differences (e.g., whether a plant fixes nitrogen or not, has perennial woody tissues or not, and has a given photosynthetic pathway or not) (Reich et al. 2003). Lavorel et al. (1997) considered that classifications could not be expected to be universal, yet their development should aim at reaching greater generality by addressing mechanism. However, as information on plant traits and their relationship with climate have increased during the last decade (Wright et al. 2004), functional group classification on a global scale is becoming possible based on plant trait distribution against bioclimatic variables, whereas the central challenge is how to define a short list of observable and quantitative traits (Harrison et al. 2010). Notwithstanding, few classifications of plant functional groups have been based on plant function for briefly knowing about the relationship between forms and functions.

The tropical rainforest in Hainan Island of China is one of the most important forest ecosystems with the most complex structure and the richest biodiversity, possessing significant values of conservation and research. However, excessive deforestation and unreasonable land use have led to a large reduction of primary forest area and formed degraded ecosystems of different successional stages. The natural secondary forest, which developed after the primary forest was destroyed, is the most important forest resource in Hainan Island (Zang et al. 2004). Because the tropical rainforest has suffered severe damages, considerable areas of degraded tropical forests in Hainan Island are in the early successional stage of recovery. A study on the vegetation of this early recovery stage not only increases our knowledge of the successional mechanism of the tropical rainforest but also has some implications for the management and restoration of degraded ecosystems in tropical regions.

In this study, we selected four typical plant species (two grasses and two shrubs) to study their adaptabilities and ecological functions during the early successional stage of recovery in a tropical rainforest of the Jianfengling forest region in Hainan Island, South China. Here, we define the early successional stage as the stage dominated by grasses/ shrubs. The four typical plant species (two grasses: Thysanolaena maxima and Miscanthus floridulus; two shrubs: Melastoma candidum and Melastoma sanguineum) are the most occurring species in the early successional stages of Hainan Island. We measured the natural microclimatic factors around the plants and the physiological and morphological characteristics of the plants in order to discuss the following two questions: (1) How the plant species adapt to the early successional physical environment? (2) Whether the plants in the early successional stages of recovery can be categorized into different functional groups according to their physiological/ morphological characteristics and adaptive modes?

Materials and methods

Study site

The study was carried out at the Jianfengling Long-Term Ecological Research Station in Hainan Island, China (latitude $18^{\circ}44'$ N, longitude $108^{\circ}55'$ E, altitude 820 m). Jianfengling region experiences a tropical monsoon rain climate. Mean annual rainfall is about 2651 mm and mean annual air temperature is 19.7°C with a distinct wet season between May and October (Luo et al. 2001). We selected an open belt of about 0.2 ha, where the vegetation was cleared for building roads but abandoned 6 years ago. The extant vegetation is predominantly grasses and shrubs, occasionally the seedlings of pioneer trees such as *Sapium discolor* (Champ. Ex Bench.) Muell.-Arg., and *Endospermum chinense* Benth. Fl. Hongk. could be found, and no shade-tolerant trees appeared. The dominant grass species are *T. maxima* (Roxb.) Kuntze. and *M. floridulus* (Labill.) Warb.ex Schum. et Lauterb., and the dominant shrubs are *M. candidum* D.Don and *M. sanguineum* Sims. *T. maxima* and *M. floriadulus* (Poaceae) distributed broadly (Guangdong Institute of Botany 1977), and *M. candidum* and *M. sanguineum* (Melastomataceae) exist mainly in open areas (Chun 1965). The four dominant species in the early successional stage were studied in the field in this paper.

Measurements of microhabitat and physiology

Leaf gas exchange measurements were made every 2 h from 8:00 to 18:00 h for three clear days in the dry season in April 2006. We measured in two mature leaves in fine sun from the top of the canopy in each of the 10 plants of a species. Gas exchange was measured with an open portable photosynthesis system (LI-6400; Li-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). During gas exchange measurements, the plants had been receiving full sunlight. We measured PFD, atmospheric temperature (T_a), relative humidity (R_h), net photosynthesis rate (A), stomatal conductance (g_s), transpiration rate (E), and leaf temperature (T_1). Instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as the photosynthetic carbon assimilation (A) per unit of transpiration (E).

Measurements of morphology

The seedlings of 10 *T. maxima*, 30 *M. floriadulus*, 10 *M. candidum*, and 10 *M. sanguineum* were excavated randomly by hand to recover as many roots as possible in the field. The harvested grass seedlings were partitioned into above-ground and root parts, and shrub seedlings were partitioned into stem, leaves, and roots, dried at 75° C to a constant weight and weighed to the nearest 0.01 g. Leaf area of individual leaves each species was measured with a Li-COR LI-3000A portable area meter. Leaf area: total plant biomass ratio (LAR), leaf area index (LAI), root mass:total plant biomass ratio (RMR), and root:shoot ratio (RS) were recorded for each harvested plant.

Data analysis

Physiological (mean daily value of A, g_s , E, T_{l} , and WUE) and morphological (LAR, LAI, RMR, and Rs) indexes were tested by one-way ANOVA. The statistically significant was set at P < 0.05. We analyzed nine traits by principal component analysis (PCA): five physiological indexes (mean daily value of A, g_s , E, T_l , and WUE) and four morphological parameters (LAR, LAI, RMR, and

Results

Diurnal changes of A, g_s , E, T_b , and WUE

T. maxima, *M. candidum*, and *M. sanguineum* had the maximum *A* at 8:00 h and then decreased during the day (Figure 1). However, *A* of *M. floriadulus* showed a unimodal curve, and the maximum was at 10:00 h. *M. floriadulus* had the higher *A* than *T. maxima*, *M. candidum*, and *M. sanguineum*. g_s varied slightly in the day, *T. maxima* and *M. floriadulus* had lower g_s than *M. candidum* and *M. sanguineum*. *E* was bimodal pattern for *T. maxima*, the peak value

appeared at 12:00 and 16:00 h, respectively. However, *E* showed a midday peak for *M. floriadulus*, *M. candidum*, and *M. sanguineum*; it reached the maximum at 12:00 h. *E* was lower for *T. maxima* and *M. floriadulus* than for *M. candidum* and *M. sanguineum*. The diurnal pattern of T_1 displayed a unimodal, reaching the peak value at 10:00 h for *T. maxima* and *M. sanguineum*, and it was at 12:00 h for *M. floriadulus* and *M. candidum*. T_1 was close among the four species. At 8:00 h, WUE for the four species was the greatest but declined obviously at 10:00 h and then changed gently. In the four species, the order of WUE was *M. floriadulus* > *T. maxima* > *M. candidum*, and *M. sanguineum*.

There were significant differences among the four species on the mean daily A (F = 3.57,



Figure 1. (a) Diurnal changes of net photosynthetic rate (A), (b) stomatal conductance (g_s) , (c) transpiration rate (E), (d) leaf temperature (T_i), and (e) WUE of the four species.

Species	Regression equation	R^2 -value	<i>P</i> -value	
T. maxima	$A = 75.434g_{\rm s} + 3.593$	0.577	P < 0.01	
	$A = -0.407T_1 + 22.221$	0.161	P < 0.01	
	$g_{\rm s} = -0.004T_1 + 0.197$	0.141	P < 0.01	
	$A = -1.2E - 5PFD^2 + 0.020PFD + 4.518$	0.596	P < 0.01	
M. floridulus	$A = 122.979g_{\rm s} + 5.569$	0.481	P < 0.01	
	$A = 0.517T_1 - 3.328$	0.228	P < 0.01	
	$g_{\rm s} = 0.003 T_{\rm l} - 0.031$	0.211	P < 0.01	
	$A = -5.6E - 6PFD^2 + 0.015PFD + 5.476$	0.499	P < 0.01	
M. candidum	$A = 41.440g_{\rm s} + 4.484$	0.408	P < 0.01	
	$A = -0.122T_1^2 + 7.986T_1 - 118.219$	0.137	P < 0.01	
	$g_{\rm s} = -0.006T_{\rm l} - 0.321$	0.186	P < 0.01	
	$A = -9.7E - 6PFD^2 + 0.019PFD + 4.464$	0.332	P < 0.01	
M. sanguineum	$A = 28.913g_{\rm s} + 5.724$	0.204	P < 0.01	
	$A = -0.060T_1^2 + 4.030T_1 - 56.631$	0.095	P < 0.01	
	$g_{\rm s} = -0.005T_{\rm 1} + 0.294$	0.173	P < 0.01	
	$A = -7.7E - 6PFD^2 + 0.017PFD + 2.794$	0.310	P < 0.01	

Table 1. Regression equations describing relationships among net photosynthesis rate (A), stomatal conductance (g_s), leaf temperature (T_i), and photosynthetic PFD for the four species.

P < 0.05), g_s (F = 55.67, P < 0.01), E (F = 5.49, P < 0.01), and WUE (F = 25.13, P < 0.01), except, for the mean daily T_1 (F = 0.23, P = 0.88). The mean daily A (P < 0.01) and WUE (P < 0.01)for T. maxima were obviously lower than for M. *floriadulus*, but the mean daily g_s (P = 0.10) and E (P = 0.99) for them were not significant. There were no significant differences between M. candidum and *M. sanguineum* on the daily mean of A (P = 0.49), g_s (P = 0.30), E (P = 0.98), and WUE (P = 0.79). M.candidum and M. sanguineum were similar to T. maxima (P = 0.16 and P = 0.56, respectively) on the mean daily A, but lower than M. floriadulus (P < 0.05). Moreover, M. candidum and M. sangui*neum* had higher mean daily g_s (P < 0.01) and E(P < 0.05) and lower WUE (P < 0.01) than T. maxima and M. floriadulus.

The relationships among A, g_s , T_b , WUE, and PFD

There were significant positive correlations between A and g_s (Table 1). The regression coefficients of T. maxima and M. floriadulus were higher than those of M. candidum and M. sanguineum, which indicated that T. maxima and M. floriadulus were more sensitive than M. candidum and M. sanguineum.

With an increase in T_1 , A of T. maxima decreased, A of M. floriadulus increased, and A of M. candidum and M. sanguineum increased in a significant quadratic polynomial way (Table 1). However, as T_1 increases, g_s of T. maxima, M. candidum, and M. sanguineum decreases, but g_s of M. floriadulus increases (Table 1).

As PFD increases, A increases in a significant quadratic polynomial way for the four species (Table 1). According to the regression equations, PFD at the maximum A was 849.49 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for T. maxima, 1339.39 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for M. floriadulus, 963.83 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for M. candidum, and 1127.39 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for M. sanguineum.

Morphological indexes of the four species

Plant morphological construction is an important value for them to adapt to the living environments. For the four species, LAI (F = 23.33, P < 0.01) and LAR (F = 8.28, P < 0.01) differed significantly (P < 0.01), but Rs (F = 0.67, P = 0.58) and RMR (F = 1.79, P = 0.16) showed no obvious differences, which indicated that their morphological construction was similar to a certain extent in high-light environment. LAR was similar in *T. maxima* and *M. floriadulus* (P = 0.66), which was higher in

Table 2. Root:shoot ratio, root biomass:total plant mass ratio, leaf area ratio, and leaf area index of the four species (mean ± SD).

Species	Rs	RMR	LAR $(\text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1})$	LAI
T. maxima M. floridulus	$0.48^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.04 \ 0.53^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.04$	$0.28^{a} \pm 0.08 \\ 0.34^{a} \pm 0.02$	$51.51^{ m ABa}\pm14.92\ 48.60^{ m Aa}\pm13.59$	$0.99^{ m Aa} \pm 0.33 \\ 0.32^{ m Bb} \pm 0.02$
M. candidum M. sanguineum	$\begin{array}{c} 0.45^{\rm a} \pm 0.12 \\ 0.48^{\rm a} \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.29^{a} \pm \ 0.01 \\ 0.31^{a} \pm \ 0.05 \end{array}$	$31.99^{\mathrm{BCa}} \pm 6.77$ $28.32^{\mathrm{Ca}} \pm 8.46$	$0.60^{ m c} \pm 0.09 \\ 0.53^{ m c} \pm 0.04$

Notes: Values followed by different letters are significantly different from each other. Lowercase letters denote significance at P < 0.05 level and uppercase letters at P < 0.01 level.



Figure 2. Plots ordination of PCA in the four species.

M. candidum and *M. sanguineum* (P < 0.05), whereas, it was similar in *M. candidum* and *M. sanguineum* (P = 0.57). *T. maxima* had the greatest LAI, then had *M. candidum* and *M. sanguineum*, and *M. floriadulus* had the least (Table 2).

Principal component analysis

We analyzed nine traits by PCA: five physiological indexes (mean daily value of A, g_s , E, T_1 , and WUE); four morphological parameters (Rs, RMR, LAR, and LAI), and the first two principal components were used to do the analysis (Figure 2). The first two axes included 92.99% of the variation. The greatest amount of variation (Axis 1, 55.55%) was associated with species' ability of controlling water (mean daily value of g_s , E, T_1 , WUE, and LAR). The second axis (Axis 2, 37.44%) was associated with species' ability of photosynthesis, including A, LAI, RMR, and Rs. The results via PCA showed that the plants in the early successional stage of tropical forest recovery could be divided into three groups: (1) the first group, represented by T. maxima, possessed stronger water use ability, lesser photosynthetic capacity, and greater self-shading of the leaves; (2) the second group, represented by M. floridulus, possessed stronger water use ability, greater photosynthetic capacity, and lower self-shading of the leaves; and(3)the third group, represented by M. candidum and M. sanguineum, had lower water use ability, moderate photosynthetic capacity, and moderate self-shading of the leaves.

Discussion

We found that grasses and shrubs had different physiological adaptive modes. High irradiance usually led to photoinhibition for plants surviving in open environments (Long et al. 1994). A of the four species suffered from depression in different degrees under higher irradiance. According to the PFD at the maximum A, T. maxima suffered the most severe inhibition during the diurnal changes, the next was M. candidum and M. sanguineum, and the last was M. floridulus. High irradiance also leads to higher leaf temperature. When T_1 exceeds the normal physiological temperature range, leaf physiology will be limited (Valladares & Pearcy 1997). The increase in T_1 led to the most serious inhibition on photosynthetic physiology for T. maxima, then for M. candidum and M. sanguineum. However, M. floridulus benefited from the increase of T_1 . So, in the early successive stage, T. maxima suffered the most severe stress on photosynthetic physiology, and then was *M. candidum* and *M. sanguineum*. However, M. floridulus could acclimate to high irradiance successfully by higher photosynthetic capacity. For two grasses, T. maxima were similar to M. floridulus in $g_{\rm s}$ and E. However, T. maxima had lower A than M. floridulus. Plants can consume considerable light energy to avoid excessive radiation and destroy the photosynthetic units by higher photosynthetic capacity (Medrano et al. 2002). So higher photosynthetic capacity was one of the important adaptive modes for M. floridulus. T. maxima perhaps had other adaptive patterns. In Hawaiian dry forest, the dominance of fountain grass may not be due to superior photosynthetic attributes than other species (Cabin et al. 2002). Two shrubs, M. candidum and M. sanguineum, had higher g_s and E than T. maxima and M. floridulus. Plants can keep normal leaf physiological temperature through regulating g_s to control E (Larcher 1995). With an increase in $T_{\rm l}$, $g_{\rm s}$ of M. candidum and M. sanguineum decreased more quickly than that of T. maxima and M. floridulus, indicating that shrubs had stronger adjusting capacity than grasses on stomata (Letts et al. 2010). The profligate water use of shrubs is related to their deeper rooting systems (Gebauer et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2005; Letts et al. 2010).

Water use strategies of plant species may partially explain the differences in plant composition and competitive ability of co-existing species. Compared with shrubs, some studies thought that grasses were profligate (Golluscio & Oesterheld 2007; Letts et al. 2010), but others thought that they were conservative (Gebauer et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2005). Profligate water use of grasses conduced to inhibit shrub growth by reducing soil moisture rapidly within their rooting depth (Golluscio & Oesterheld 2007; Letts et al. 2010). However, high efficiency in the use of water is vital for plants to survive in the open environment; especially, most of the tropical rainforests usually experience very high water stress during the dry season (Valladares & Pearcy 1997). T. maxima and M. floridulus possessed higher WUE than M. candidum

and *M. sanguineum*, which was similar to the research in the Xilin River Basin, Inner Mongolia, China (Chen et al. 2005) and in the northern Chihuahuan Desert grassland (Throop et al. 2012). Shrubs generally have deeper root systems than grasses (Letts et al. 2010). Because of constant water resources in deeper soil layer, shrubs use a relatively prodigal water use pattern (Gebauer et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2005; Pochman & Small 2010).

However, only physiological adaptation is not enough, plants need to regulate adaptation by morphological architecture correspondingly. Under full sunlight conditions, architecture plays the important fundamental role as the defense against temperature extremes and photoinhibition through avoidance of excessive radiation loads on the leaf surfaces (Valladares & Pearcy 1998; Pearcy et al. 2005). The four species were similar in RMR and Rs, indicating that no significant differences were shown in root biomass invested between grasses and shrubs. However, some researches showed that grasses had greater specific root length than shrubs (Leonard et al. 2008). Thereby, root traits of grasses and shrubs need to strengthen research. T. maxima and M. floridulus exhibited higher LAR than M. candidum and M. sanguineum, which was related to the increase in stem mass investment for shrubs (Hunt & Cornelissen 1997; Kamiyama et al. 2010). Plant growth is strongly dependent upon LAR (Hunt & Cornelissen 1997). Higher LAR of grasses favors their fastest aboveground growth and the formation of leaf layers, decreasing the light interception by short shrubs (Aerts et al. 1991). Plants achieve their maximum daily carbon gain by leaf angle and self-shading, providing structural photoprotection, minimizing potential damage from photoinhibition in high-light environments (Valadares & Pearcy 1998; Ramírez et al. 2008). T. maxima had the greatest LAI, the next was M. candidum and M. sanguineum, and the least was M. floridulus, indicating that T. maxima made the most use of leaves self-shading, M. candidum and M. sanguineum were in the middle, and the least was M. floridulus. Under tall and dense grass, light level was too low for shrubs and tree seedlings to regenerate (Celis & Jose 2011; Ortega-Pieck et al. 2011). Therefore, tall and dense T. maxima can hamper introducing shrubs and tree seedlings.

Plants exposed to high light often combine physiological and architectural strategies, but with different emphasis on each, they depend on the species and the environmental conditions (Valladares 1999). Combining the physiological and morphological indexes of the four species, the adaptive modes of the four species were very different in the high-light environments. After examining the traits measured in this study by PCA, according to their adaptive modes, the four species could be divided into three groups. T. maxima possessed stronger water use ability, with more emphasis on morphological architecture strategy, maximized A and mastered E and T_1 through leaf changes (rolling in clear day and spreading in the overcast day) and self-shading. M. floridulus provided greater water use ability emphasizing more on the physiological strategy (photosynthetic capacity), which was the most responsible manner, and higher WUE was vital for it to survive. However, M. candidum and M. sanguineum integrated the morphological architecture and physiological strategies, with higher leaves self-shading in architecture and A and E in physiology. T. maxima and M. floridulus had greater water use ability than M. candidum and M. sanguineum, which indicated that herbaceous plants possessed stronger survival capacity than shrubs in the early successional stage (Mahall & Schlesinger 1982).

Because of the difficulty in measuring functional traits experimentally and specific research aims, few plant functional classifications have been based on functional traits, though it is the essential method. Although differences were significantly enough among trait-based functional groups, it did not effectively discriminate herbaceous species (Reich et al. 2003). Using mixtures of 14 calcareous grassland plant species drawn from three functional groups (nonleguminous forbs, grasses, and leguminous forbs), Hanley et al. (2004) concluded that because of the idiosyncratic responses of individual species, the categorization of plants into broad functional groups was of limited use to understand the impacts of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on plant communities. Moreover, plant species which were selected from the dicotyledonous herbs functional group had large difference between species for all of the ecophysiological traits measured (Wardle et al. 1998). In this paper, we also found significant differences in ecophysiological traits between grasses. This research showed that it was very difficult to divide plants into different functional groups because of remarkable difference in plant biological characteristics. We need to strengthen the research on plant biological characteristics and shortlist and reasonable traits that will be sufficient to characterize plant functional groups. According to the ecophysiological characteristics and adaptation modes of the four typical representative species' early successional stage of tropical rainforest in Hainan Island, we concluded that there existed three functional groups in the early successional stage of tropical rainforest in Hainan Island: (1) physiological adaptation group, represented by M. floridulus, possessed stronger water use ability, greater photosynthetic capacity, and lower self-shading of the leaves; (2) morphological adaptation group, represented by T. maxima,

possessed stronger water use ability, less photosynthetic capacity, and greater self-shading of the leaves; and (3) physiological and morphological integration adaptation group, represented by *M. candidum* and *M. sanguineum*, had lower water uptake ability, moderate photosynthetic capacity, and moderate self-shading of the leaves.

Acknowledgment

This study was supported by the Key Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (30430570).

References

- Aerts R, Boot RGA, van der Aart PJM. 1991. The relation between above- and belowground biomass allocation patterns and competitive ability. Oecologia 87: 551–559.
- Bader MY, Geloof I, Rietkerk M. 2007. High solar radiation hinders tree regeneration above the alpine treeline in northern Ecuador. Plant Ecol 191: 33–45.
- Cabin RJ, Weller SG, Lorence DH, Cordell S, Hadway LJ, Montgomery R, et al. 2002. Effects of light, alien grass, and native species additions on Hawaiian dry forest restoration. Ecol Appl 12(6): 1595–1610.
- Casas RR, Vargas P, Pérez-Corona E, Manrique E, García-Verdugo C, Balaguer L. 2011. Sun and shade leaves of *Olea europaea* respond differently to plant size, light availability and genetic variation. Funct Ecol 25: 802–812.
- Celis G, Jose S. 2011. Restoring abandoned pasture land with native tree species in Costa Rica: Effects of exotic grass competition and light. Forest Ecol Manage 261: 1598–1604.
- Chen S, Bai Y, Lin G, Lin G, Han X. 2005. Variations in life-form composition and foliar carbon isotope discrimination among eight plant communities under different soil moisture conditions in the Xilin River Basin, Inner Mongolia, China. Ecol Res 20: 167–176.
- Chun WY. 1965. Flora hainanica. Vol. 2. Beijing: Science Press.
- Cowan IR. 1982. Regulation of water use in relation to carbon gain in higher plants. In: Lange OL, Nobel PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H, editors. Encyclopedia of plant physiology NS, physiological plant ecology II. Berlin: Springer Verlag. pp. 589–614.
- Cuesta B, Villar-Salvador P, Puértolas J, Benayas JM, Michalet R. 2010. Facilitation of *Quercus ilex* in Mediterranean shrubland is explained by both direct and indirect interactions mediated by herbs. J Ecol 98: 687–696.
- Foyer CH, Shigeoka S. 2011. Understanding oxidative stress and antioxidant functions to enhance photosynthesis. Plant Physiol 155: 93–100.
- García-Orth X, Martínz-Ramos M. 2011. Isolated trees and grass removal improve performance of transplanted *Trema micrantha* (L.) blume (Ulmaceae) saplings in tropical pastures. Restor Ecol 19(1): 24–34.
- Gebauer RLE, Schwinning S, Ehleringer JR. 2002. Interspecific competition and resource pulse utilization in a cold desert community. Ecology 83: 2602–2616.
- Giorio P, Nuzzo V. 2012. Leaf area, light environment, and gas exchange in Montepulciano grapevines trained to Tendone trellising system. Plant Biosyst 146: 322–333.
- Gitay H, Noble IR. 1997. What are functional types and how should we seek them?. In: Smith TM, Shugart HH, Woodward FI, editors. Plant functional types – their relevance to

ecosystem properties and global change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 3–19.

- Golluscio RA, Oestreheld M. 2007. Water use efficiency of twentyfive co-existing Patagonian species growing under different soil water availability. Oecologia 154: 207–217.
- Guangdong Institute of Botany. 1977. Flora hainanica. Vol. 4. Beijing: Science Press.
- Guidi L, Degl'innocenti E, Remorini D, Massai R, Tattini M. 2008. Interactions of water stress and solar irradiance on the physiology and biochemistry of *Ligustrum vulgare*. Tree Physiol 28: 873–883.
- Hanley ME, Trofimov S, Taylor G. 2004. Species-level effects more important than functional group-level responses to elevated CO_2 : Evidence from simulated turves. Funct Ecol 18: 304–313.
- Harrison SP, Prentice IC, Barboni D, Kohfeld KE, Ni J, Sutra J. 2010. Ecophysiological and bioclimatic foundations for a global plant functional classification. J Veg Sci 21: 300–317.
- Hooper E, Legendre P, Condit R. 2005. Barriers to forest regeneration of deforested and abandoned land in Panama. J Appl Ecol 42: 1165–1174.
- Hunt R, Cornelissen JHC. 1997. Components of relative growth rate and their interrelations in 59 temperate plant species. New Phytol 135: 395–417.
- Kamiyama C, Oikawa S, Kubo T, Hikosaka K. 2010. Light interception in species with different functional groups coexisting in moorland plant communities. Oecologia 164: 591–599.
- Larcher W. 1995. Physiological plant ecology: Ecophysiology and stress physiology of functional groups. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Lavorel S, McIntyre S, Landsberg J, Forbes TDA. 1997. Plant functional classifications: From general groups to specific groups based on response to disturbance. Trends Ecol Evol 12: 474–478.
- Leonard ED, Monaco TA, Stark JM, Ryel RJ. 2008. Invasive forb, annual grass, and exotic shrub competition with three sagebrush-steppe growth forms: Acquisition of a spring ¹⁵N tracer. Invasive Plant Sci Manage 1: 168–177.
- Letts MG, Johnson DRE, Coburn CA. 2010. Drought stress ecophysiology of shrub and grass functional groups on opposing slope aspects of a temperate grassland valley. Botany 88: 850–866.
- Li Z, Wakao S, Fischer BB, Niyogi KK. 2009. Sensing and responding to excess light. Annu Rev Plant Biol 60: 239–260.
- Long SP, Humphries S, Falkowski PG. 1994. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 45: 633–662.
- Luo TS, Chen BF, Li YD, Lin MX, Zhou GY, Chen DX, et al. 2001. Litter and soil respiration in a tropical mountain rain forest in Jianfengling, Hainan Island. Acta Ecol Sin 21(12): 2013–2017.
- Maestre FT, Bautista S, Cortina J, Cortina J, Bellot J. 2001. Potential for using facilitation by grasses to establish shrubs on a semiarid degraded steppe. Ecol Appl 11(6): 1641–1655.
- Maestre FT, Bowker MA, Puche MD, Hinojosa MB, Martínez I, García-Palacios P, et al. 2009. Shrub encroachment can reverse desertification in semi-arid Mediterranean grasslands. Ecol Lett 12: 930–941.
- Mahall BE, Schlesinger WH. 1982. Effects of irradiance on growth, photosynthesis, and water use efficiency of seedlings of the chaparral shrub *Ceanothus megacarpus*. Oecologta 54: 291–299.
- Medrano H, Bota J, Abadía A, Sampol B, Escalona JM, Flexas J. 2002. Effects of drought on light-energy dissipation mechanisms in high-light-acclimated, field-grown grapevines. Funct Plant Biol 29: 1197–1207.
- Mott KA, Parkhurst DF. 1991. Stomatal responses to humidity in air and helox. Plant Cell Environ 14: 509–515.

- Muraoka H, Koizumi H. 2005. Photosynthetic and structural characteristics of canopy and shrub trees in a cool-temperate deciduous broadleaved forest: Implication to the ecosystem carbon gain. Agric Forest Meteorol 134: 39–59.
- Muraoka H, Tang Y, Terashima I, Koizumi H, Washitani I. 2000. Contributions of diffusional limitation, photoinhibition and photorespiration to midday depression of photosynthesis in *Arisaema heterophyllum* in natural high light. Plant Cell Environ 23: 235–250.
- Murchie EH, Niyogi KK. 2011. Manipulation of photoprotection to improve plant photosynthesis. Plant Physiol 155: 86–92.
- Navas M-L, Roumet C, Bellmann A, Laurent G, Garnier E. 2010. Suites of plant traits in species from different stages of a Mediterranean secondary succession. Plant Biol 12: 183–196.
- Nicotra AB, Cosgrove MJ, Cowling A, Schlichting CD, Jones CS. 2008. Leaf shape linked to photosynthetic rates and temperature optima in South African *Pelargonium* species. Oecologia 154: 625–635.
- Niinemets Ü. 2007. Photosynthesis and resource distribution through plant canopies. Plant Cell Environ 30: 1052-1071.
- Odum EP. 1969. The strategy of ecosystem development. Science 164: 262–270.
- Ortega-Pieck A, López-Barrera F, Ramírez-Marcial N, García-Franco J. 2011. Early seedling establishment of two tropical montane cloud forest tree species: The role of native and exotic grasses. Forest Ecol Manage 261: 1336–1343.
- Pearcy RW, Muraoka H, Valladares F. 2005. Crown architecture in sun and shade environments: Assessing function and trade-offs with a three-dimensional simulation model. New Phytol 166: 791–800.
- Ramírez DA, Valladares F, Blasco A, Bellot J. 2008. Effects of tussock size and soil water content on whole plant gas exchange in *Stipa tenacissima* L.: Extrapolating from the leaf versus modelling crown architecture. Environ Exp Bot 62: 376–388.
- Reich PB, Buschena C, Tjoelker MG, Wrage K, Knops J, Tilman D, et al. 2003. Variation in growth rate and ecophysiology

among 34 grassland and savanna species under contrasting N supply: A test of functional group differences. New Phytol 157: 617–631.

- Smith TM, Shugart HH, Woodward FI, Burton PJ. 1993. Plant functional types. In: Solomon AM, Shugart HH, editors. Vegetation dynamics and global change. New York: Chapman & Hall. pp. 272–292.
- Throop HL, Reichmann LG, Osvaldo E, Sala GOE, Archer RS. 2012. Response of dominant grass and shrub species to water manipulation: An ecophysiological basis for shrub invasion in a Chihuahuan Desert Grassland. Oecologia 169: 373–383.
- Valladares F. 1999. Architecture, ecology, and evolution of plant crowns. In: Pugnaire FI, Valladares F, editors. Handbook of functional plant ecology. New York: Marcel Dekker. pp. 122–170.
- Valladares F, Pearcy RW. 1997. Interactions between water stress, sun-shade acclimation, heat tolerance and photoinhibition in the sclerophyll *Heteromeles arbutifolia*. Plant Cell Environ 20: 25–36.
- Valladares F, Pearcy RW. 1998. The functional ecology of shoot architecture in sun and shade plants of *Heteromeles arbutifolia M. Roem.*, a Caliafornian chaparral shrub. Oecologia 114: 1-10.
- Vitale CAL, Santo AVD. 2008. Photosynthesis and photoprotective strategies in *Laurus nobilis* L. and *Quercus ilex* L. under summer drought and winter cold. Plant Biosyst 142: 472–479.
- Wardle DA, Barker GM, Bonner KI, Nicholson KS. 1998. Can comparative approaches based on plant ecophysiological traits predict the nature of biotic interactions and individual plant species effects in ecosystems? J Ecol 86: 405–420.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby MA, Ackerly DD, Baruch Z, Bongers F, et al. 2004. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428: 821–827.
- Zang RG, An SQ, Tao JP, Jiang YX, Wang BX. 2004. Mechanism of biological diversity maintenance in tropical rain forest on Hainan Island. Beijing: Science Press.