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1. Introduction

Methane (CH₄) is an infrared-active trace gas with a long atmospheric lifetime of ca. 10 years (Lelieveld et al., 1998). Atmospheric CH₄ concentration has been increasing steadily from 1.52 parts per million by volume (ppmv) in 1978 (Blake and Rowland, 1988) to 1.81 ppmv nowadays (World Meteorological Organization, 2012). Despite its low concentration in the atmosphere, CH₄ absorbs infrared radiation 25–40 times more efficiently than does CO₂. At present, CH₄ accounts for 15–20% of the anthropogenic greenhouse effect (Houghton et al., 1990; Shindell et al., 2009) and is ranked as the second largest contributor to atmospheric radiative forcing (IPCC, 2007).

Due to natural physical and biological processes and anthropogenic activities, sea surface concentrations of dissolved CH₄ ([CH₄] in short) usually exceed atmospheric equilibrium (e.g., Lamontagne et al., 1973; Conrad and Seiler, 1988; Plass-Dülmer et al., 1993, 1995; Reeburgh, 2007). In open oceans, CH₄ sources in shallow water depths are provided by microbial subsurface CH₄ generation taking place in zooplankton guts, the oxygen-deficient interior of particles, or under phosphate-limiting conditions (Karl et al., 2008; Damm et al., 2010). In waters overlying continental shelves, however, the organic-rich sediment serves as a major source of CH₄ in the water column compared to net production in the mixed layer (Martens and Berner, 1974; Martens and Klump, 1980; Reeburgh, 2007). The shallow-water [CH₄] can also be elevated due to gas seepages and/or oil spills (Bernard et al., 1976; Reed and Kaplan, 1977; Rehder et al., 1998, 2002; Kessler et al., 2011; Gülzow et al., 2013) and advection of CH₄-rich freshwaters (Sackett and Brooks, 1975; Cline et al., 1986; Zhang et al., 2008). Since CH₄ is oxidized to dissolved inorganic carbon via microbial oxidation processes (Sieburt et al., 1987; Jones, 1991; Reeburgh, 2007) and/or lost via sea–air gas exchange (Crutzen, 1991; Bange et al., 1994), determining [CH₄] in surface water with high spatiotemporal data coverage is critical in adding to our understanding of the marine CH₄ cycle and furthermore of the marine carbon cycle. Additionally, quantifying the leaked CH₄ dissolved in the water might be an effective approach to assess the size of an oil spill, which is essential to understand its environmental impacts (Valentine, 2010).

During the process of marine oil and gas extraction, accidental gas seepages and/or oil spills are usually accompanied by massive short-term CH₄ injection into the overlying water column, as occurred in the Gulf of Mexico in 2010 (Valentine et al., 2010; Kessler et al., 2011). So far the impacts of marine oil and gas exploration on the sea–air outgassing of CH₄ are still poorly understood, due to the fact that determination of CH₄ concentration has long been limited to the gas chromatography (GC) method equipped with flame ionization detector (FID), based on which it is difficult for researchers to obtain sufficient spatiotemporal resolution of [CH₄]. Recently, great efforts have been made to develop fast,
precise, and on-the-spot monitoring techniques for [CH$_4$] (e.g., Gülzow et al., 2011, 2013; Yvon-Lewis et al., 2011).

In recent years, wavelength-scanned cavity ring-down spectroscopy (WS-CRDS) has been proven to be a highly stable, reliable, and accurate method for measuring trace-level greenhouse gases (e.g., Crosson, 2008; Chen et al., 2010; Winderlich et al., 2010; Zang et al., 2011). Briefly, the gas sample is introduced into a high-finesse optical cavity consisting of two or more mirrors. Then an infrared band laser is injected into the cavity. The laser passes the cavity numerous times due to reflection at highly reflective mirrors, producing an absorption path length of tens of kilometers. After shutting off the laser, the light intensity in the cavity decays exponentially with time. The concentration of the gas sample is proportional to the area under the measured spectral features. So far WS-CRDS has been successfully used for the continuous measurement of [CH$_4$] concentrations in the atmosphere (Crosson, 2008; Chen et al., 2010; Winderlich et al., 2010; Zang et al., 2013) and in surface seawater (Gülzow et al., 2011, 2013; Yvon-Lewis et al., 2011).

In this study, we determined sea surface [CH$_4$] in the central Bohai Sea with a home-made underway measurement system in combination with a WS-CRDS analyzer. Our measurements represent the first attempt to do so in China Seas. This high-resolution data set provides an opportunity to examine impacts of marine oil and gas exploration on the distribution and sea–air flux of CH$_4$ in this shallow sea.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

The semi-enclosed Bohai Sea covers an area of 77,284 km$^2$, and has an average water depth of 18 m. It is open to the North Yellow Sea through the Bohai Strait, and is surrounded by several highly industrialized cities such as Tianjin, Tangshan, Qinhuangdao, Dongying, and Dalian (Fig. 1). As the largest Chinese marine crude oil production base, the Bohai Sea had 23 marine gas fields, 1932 oil wells, and 175 marine platforms that had been built/operated until 2009 (CCICED, 2010) (Fig. 1). In the past 15 years, quite a number of serious oil-spill accidents have occurred in this shallow sea (Kou et al., 2010), including the famous “Penglai 19-3” oil-spill accident in June–August 2011. So far the impacts of oil and gas exploration on the distribution of [CH$_4$] in the Bohai Sea are still unknown, although Li et al. (2010) reported the distribution of [CH$_4$] in the Bohai Sea in summer 2008.

Recently, oil and gas exploration areas in the Bohai Sea have been systematically identified (Lv et al., 1993). According to this signage system, the Bohai Sea is divided into several squares and each square has a $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ span with a unique place name from China. Every square is further divided into 36 cells with $10^\circ$ span marked with Arabic numerals. Taking the oilfield name of “Penglai 19-3” as an example, the “Penglai 19” shows the position (Fig. 1) and the suffix “-3” indicates a geologic structure of the seafloor. This study focuses on the central Bohai Sea, including the Caofeidian (CFD), the Bozhong (BZ), and the Penglai (PL) squares (Fig. 1).

2.2. Underway sampling and analyses

To clarify the spatial and temporal variations of [CH$_4$] in the surface water of the central Bohai Sea, we conducted four field surveys: November 2011, May 2012, July 2012, and August 2012. A modified underway pumping system (Fig. 2) after Pierrot et al. (2009) and Gülzow et al. (2011), in combination with a WS-CRDS CH$_4$ analyzer (model G2301, Picarro Inc., USA), was used to continuously measure [CH$_4$] in surface seawater. Surface water was continuously pumped into instruments for analysis from an intake at a depth of 5 m (November 2011 and May 2012) or 2–3 m (July and August 2012). The temperature and salinity (Practical Salinity Scale 1978) of the pumped seawater were continuously measured using a calibrated thermosalinograph system (SBE 45, Sea-Bird Inc., USA). The temperature changes during water pumping and transportation were minor ($\pm 0.2^\circ$C) and ignored. To determine [CH$_4$], pumped water was introduced into a main equilibrator equipped with a spiral nozzle that can create a spray of water and improve equilibration efficiency significantly. A secondary equilibrator was used to pre-equilibrate headspace gas as well as compensate...
for gas loss in the main equilibrator. Due to the slowness of CH₄ to equilibrate between the gaseous and the water phases, the analysis results are smoothed and delayed by about half an hour (Gülzow et al., 2011, 2013). Hence, with the relatively slow ship speed of 6–10 knots in this study, the worst spatial uncertainty of the underway methane signals was 5 nautical miles.

During analysis, a small amount of air was drawn from the headspace of the main equilibrator. After passing a cooling trap (~10 °C) and a buffer bottle so as to remove a part of the water vapor, the draw-out headspace air was introduced into the WS-CRDS analyzer for the dried CH₄ mole fraction (xCH₄) determination. Finally, the measured air was returned back to the equilibrator so as to balance the inside vacuum. Our WS-CRDS CH₄ analyzer can measure individual spectral features without interference from other gas species and water vapor (Crosson, 2008; Zang et al., 2011). Also, built-in pressure and temperature controls make it possible for data collected to be immune to changes in ambient temperature and pressure even in cases of relatively drastic shifts. These qualities have made the WS-CRDS analyzer work stably, allowing continuous underway measurement of [CH₄] in seawater using ships of opportunity. In this study, the WS-CRDS CH₄ analyzer was calibrated against two series of gas standards. One series (xCH₄ from 1.601 to 2.318 ppmv) was traceable to the World Meteorological Organization/Background Atmosphere Watch’s primary standards (the highest grade), with an uncertainty of <0.1% of the contents (Zang et al., 2011, 2013). Another series of gas standards (xCH₄ from 5.57 to 23.30 ppmv) was provided by the China National Research Center for Certificated Reference Materials, with overall uncertainty of <1% of the contents. Based on these gas standards, we confirmed that our WS-CRDS CH₄ analyzer showed a linear response in the range of field-measured sea surface xCH₄ values over the surveys (Fig. 3).

In July 2012, CH₄ concentrations in the air (m atm) were measured using the same WS-CRDS analyzer. The bow intake from which atmospheric air was pumped was installed at 6–10 m above the water surface to avoid contamination from the ship’s exhaust. Atmospheric air divided from intake air flow was determined for m atm following the same procedure as that for headspace air mentioned above. The analyzer switched between air and water analyses, with 6 min for air analysis in each hour, except for occasional interruption due to malfunction and maintenance.

2.3. Vertical profiling and analyses

To better understand the distribution of CH₄ in the central Bohai Sea, we also quantified vertical profiles of [CH₄] at four stations during the cruise in August 2012 (Fig. 1). Station 1 was situated about 1800 m away from an inactive oil platform (no gas flaring was observed when sampling) in the Penglai 19 cell (Fig. 1). Station 2 was located about 500 m away from an active oil platform, indicated by a noticeable gas flaring when sampling. Station 3 was located in the CFD square and Station 4 in the central area away from oil platforms. Discrete samples were taken during upcasts via 2.5 L Niskin bottles, which were mounted with another Conductivity–Temperature–Depth/Pressure (CTD) unit (SBE 19plus, Sea-Bird Inc., USA). Duplicate samples were taken at three to six depths, including the near-surface water layer (~1.0 m below the free surface) and the near-bottom water layer (~2 m above the seafloor). Subsamples for CH₄ determination were transferred from Niskin bottles into 120 mL glass bottles using a silicon tube. After overflow of approximately twice the bottle volume, 1 mL of saturated solution of HgCl₂ was added to inhibit microbial activity. Each sample bottle was immediately sealed with a butyl rubber stopper and an aluminum cap to exclude the excessive water, and was stored in the dark. Subsampling was done with care to avoid introducing any air bubbles. All the water samples were analyzed in the land laboratory within 60 days of collection. [CH₄] was extracted using a gas-stripping method (Swinnerton and Linnenbom, 1967; Zhang et al., 2004). Briefly, [CH₄] was purged from the seawater, and water vapors and carbon dioxide were removed. Then CH₄ was concentrated in a cold U-shaped stainless steel trap packed with 80/100 mesh Porapak Q; the Porapak Q trap was heated and the released CH₄ was injected into a Shimadzu GC-14B analyzer and detected by an FID (Zhang et al., 2004). The detection limit is about 0.1 nmol L⁻¹, with overall uncertainty of <3% of the contents (Zhang et al., 2004).

2.4. Data processing

Following the methodology described in Gülzow et al. (2011), sea surface [CH₄] in nmol kg⁻¹ was calculated based on Henry’s Law:

\[
[\text{CH}_4] = K_H \times p_{\text{CH}_4}
\]
where $K_a$ (unit: nmol kg$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$) is the solubility coefficient of CH$_4$ calculated after Wiesenburg and Guinasso (1979), and $p$CH$_4$ is the partial pressure of CH$_4$ in the headspace of the equilibrator, calculated via Eq. (2).

$$p\text{CH}_4 = \text{xCH}_4 \times \left[ P_{eq} - VP(\text{H}_2\text{O,sw}) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $P_{eq}$ (unit: atm) is the air pressure, and $VP(\text{H}_2\text{O,sw})$ (unit: atm) is the saturated water vapor pressure in the equilibrator calculated via the Weiss and Price (1980) formula.

The saturation ratios (SR) of CH$_4$ were calculated as:

$$\text{SR} \% = \frac{[\text{CH}_4]_{\text{eq}}}{[\text{CH}_4]} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $[\text{CH}_4]_{\text{eq}}$ is surface water CH$_4$ concentration in equilibrium with the atmosphere. It was calculated from $m_{\text{atm}}$ data of CH$_4$ and corrected to survey-based barometric pressure at 10 m above the sea surface and 100% humidity at in situ sea surface temperature (SST) and sea surface salinity (SSS). Since the field-measured $m_{\text{atm}}$ data of CH$_4$ were unavailable in most cruises, we adopted flask analysis data from the neighboring regional atmospheric background monitoring site of Shandianzhi (SDZ) (Fig. 1) from NOAA/ESRL’s Global Monitoring Division (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/).

### 2.5. Sea–air CH$_4$ flux estimation

The flux of CH$_4$ ($F$) across the water–air interface was estimated based on the stagnant laminar layer model of Liss and Slater (1974), which expresses the flux as a product of gas transfer velocity ($k$) with the difference between measured surface water [CH$_4$] and $[\text{CH}_4]_{\text{eq}}$:

$$F = k \times \left( [\text{CH}_4]_{\text{eq}} - [\text{CH}_4] \right) \times \rho_{\text{sw}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\rho_{\text{sw}}$ (unit: kg m$^{-2}$) is the density of seawater at a given temperature and salinity. To determine $k$, the revised empirical equation of Wanninkhof (1992) by Sweeney et al. (2007) was used, that is,

$$k \ (\text{cm h}^{-1}) = 0.27 \times (u_{10})^2 \times (S_C/660)^{-0.5}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $S_C$ is the Schmidt number in seawater (Wanninkhof, 1992), and $u_{10}$ is the wind speed at 10 m height (unit: m s$^{-1}$), recorded by onboard meteorological sensors.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. CH$_4$ concentrations in the air

The field-measured $m_{\text{atm}}$ of CH$_4$ ranged from 1.92 to 2.31 ppmv during the cruise in July 2012, with an average of 2.07 ppmv. The data reported here were higher than the global mean CH$_4$ concentration in 2011 (1.81 ppmv) (World Meteorological Organization, 2012) and also higher than flask analysis data at the two adjacent regional atmospheric background monitoring sites of SDZ and Tae-ahn Peninsula (TAP) (Fig. 4). However, our results were consistent with that of Kong et al. (2010) (from 1.87 to 2.61 ppmv in the Tianjin nearshore area). The high $m_{\text{atm}}$ of CH$_4$ in the surveying area may indicate local air addition of CH$_4$ from sea–air emission (see Section 3.4) or from the neighboring industrialized coastal zone (Fig. 1).

At the two adjacent regional atmospheric background monitoring sites, the monthly mean $m_{\text{atm}}$ data of CH$_4$ are usually consistent (Fig. 4), despite the time-lag between the CH$_4$ peak of 1.96 ppmv at the TAP site in July 2011 and the CH$_4$ peak of 2.03 ppmv at the SDZ site in August 2011 (Fig. 4). Their relationships with the Penglai 19-3 oil-spill accident need further investigation. During our surveying periods, however, monthly mean $m_{\text{atm}}$ data of CH$_4$ varied little from 1.91 to 1.95 ppmv at the neighboring SDZ site (Fig. 4). We adopted them as regional atmospheric background CH$_4$ concentrations of this study (Table 1).

#### 3.2. Spatial and temporal variations of sea surface CH$_4$

The [CH$_4$] in the surface water of the Bohai Sea showed marked variations (Fig. 5, Table 1). [CH$_4$] ranged from 2.87 to 12.05 nmol kg$^{-1}$ in November 2011, with the highest [CH$_4$] of 12.05 nmol kg$^{-1}$ found near the Penglai 19 oilfield (Fig. 5a). In May 2012, [CH$_4$] ranged from 3.43 to 16.89 nmol kg$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5b). The highest value of 16.89 nmol kg$^{-1}$ was observed in the BZ square, and the other two hotspots during this cruise were adjacent to the Luange estuary and the Yellow River estuary (Fig. 5b). In July 2012, [CH$_4$] varied from 2.84 to 6.33 nmol kg$^{-1}$. The high [CH$_4$] area was near several oil–gas platforms, while the relatively low [CH$_4$] area was far away from these oil–gas platforms (Fig. 5c). In August 2012, sea surface [CH$_4$] ranged from 2.27 to 25.63 nmol kg$^{-1}$. The most intense surface [CH$_4$] peak of 25.63 nmol kg$^{-1}$ was recorded close to Station 2 (Fig. 5d) when the vessel was approaching an active oil–gas platform. During this cruise, relatively high sea surface [CH$_4$] of 5.50–10.77 nmol kg$^{-1}$ was also revealed in several sea

![Fig. 4. Field-measured atmospheric CH$_4$ concentration and time series of flask analysis data at the adjacent Shandianzhi (SDZ) and Tae-ahn Peninsula (TAP) sites (monthly mean data from NOAA/ESRL’s Global Monitoring Division, http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/). The thick arrow represents the occurrence of the Penglai 19-3 oil-spill accident in the central Bohai Sea, while thin arrows show our sampling dates.](image-url)
areas near the CFD oilfields, near the Luanhe estuary, and to the west of the Bohai Strait (Fig. 5d).

The surface water was supersaturated with atmospheric CH₄ throughout the surveyed areas. SR in surface water of the Bohai Sea varied between 107% and 448% in November 2011, 125% and 643% in May 2012, 128% and 280% in July 2012, and 108% and 1193% in August 2012. The SR sequence was different from that of the averaged surface water temperature of August (24.2 °C) > July (22.4 °C) > November (13.1 °C) > May (12.5 °C). Note that our August 2012 results of sea surface [CH₄] and SR in the Penglai 19 cell were similar to those values in the same position in summer 2008 (Li et al., 2010).

Sea surface [CH₄] and SR in the central Bohai Sea were usually comparable to or slightly higher than those reported in other coastal areas (Table 2), although higher [CH₄] and SR were reported in the northern East China Sea, in the Black Sea shelf, and in the Arabian Sea (Table 2), which may be due to the contribution of marine and terrestrial sources of CH₄.
East Siberian Arctic Shelf. In the northern East China Sea, CH4-rich effluents from the Yangtze River support the very high surface water [CH4] (Zhang et al., 2008). In the Black Sea shelf, anaerobic metabolism together with numerous methane-emitting bottom features, such as seeps, methane clathrate hydrates, and mud volcanoes, sustain the high sea surface [CH4] in this, the world's largest anoxic basin (Amouroux et al., 2002). In the East Siberian Arctic Shelf, seabed permafrost releases CH4 to the water column (Shakhova et al., 2010).

Around the Bohai Sea, the Yellow River water has been reported to contain high [CH4] (Li et al., 2010; Gu et al., 2011). The input of CH4-rich river water may elevate the CH4 levels in coastal waters near the Yellow River estuary and the Luanhe River estuary. Additionally, several marine oil platforms are located in the Luanhe estuary and the northern part of the Yellow River estuary (Fig. 5). The sediment near these two estuaries has been intensely contaminated by petroleum (Hu et al., 2013a) and spills of oil products (Hu et al., 2013b). Therefore, it is reasonable to say that the highly fluctuating [CH4] in the nearshore areas was caused by the combined influences of land inputs and in situ petroleum contamination.

In the central Bohai Sea, however, the river input of CH4 is negligible. The tremendous heterogeneity of sea surface [CH4] distributions (Fig. 5) may indicate gas leakage from the seafloor, as indicated by homogeneous vertical profiles of temperature and salinity (Fig. 6). Therefore, it is reasonable to say that the central Bohai Sea was laden with CH4 in the spring, summer, and autumn periods of measurement. Additional CH4 leaked from the seafloor and mixed up to the sea surface, leading to enhanced methane signals in the study area.

Another piece of evidence pointing to the bottom-up transportation of CH4 is derived from underway SST and SSS distributions. Around those selected oilfield-neighboring CH4 hotspots, SSS was always higher than that in the background area (surveyed areas excluding those hotspots indicated in Section 3.2), while SST was higher than that in the background area in the colder months (November and May) and lower in the warmer months (July and August) (Table 1). The oilfield-neighboring high CH4 associated with abnormal SSS and SST indicated upwelling or vertical mixing of the water column, presumably driven by gas seepages and/or oil spills at the seafloor, similar to the upwelling-associated high CH4 signals in surface water off Oregon (Rehder et al., 2002) and in the Baltic Sea (Gülzow et al., 2013).

3.3. Bottom-up transportation of CH4

In a summer cruise in August 2012, the two-layer summer stratification (with a warmer surface layer and a colder bottom layer) was not always observed at selected stations (Fig. 6). However, the bottom layer [CH4] was usually higher than that in the surface layer, indicating a rapid bottom-up transport mechanism (Reeburgh, 2007). At Stations 3 and 4 with the strongest water stratification, SST was 3.38°C and 2.38°C higher than the bottom temperature, respectively, while [CH4] was relatively low and homogeneous, changing from 7.39 nmol kg⁻¹ (Station 3) or 7.87 nmol kg⁻¹ (Station 4) in the bottom layer to 6.14 nmol kg⁻¹ (Station 3) or 5.11 nmol kg⁻¹ (Station 4) in the surface layer (Fig. 6). In contrast, at the weakly stratified Station 1, with the surface water 1.61°C warmer than the bottom water, [CH4] declined greatly from 11.97 nmol kg⁻¹ in the bottom layer to 6.19 nmol kg⁻¹ in the surface layer (Fig. 6).

At Station 2, approximately 500 m away from an active oil–gas platform, the water column was well mixed, as indicated by homogeneous vertical profiles of temperature and salinity (Fig. 6). However, [CH4] showed a highly stratified pattern. [CH4] increased from the sea surface 13.87 nmol kg⁻¹ to a maximum of 28.67 nmol kg⁻¹ at 10 m depth. From the CH4 maximum depth to the bottom layer, [CH4] varied very little (Fig. 6). The highest [CH4] value in the water column of Station 2 was 1.4–3.0 times higher than at the other stations, and the corresponding underway sea surface [CH4] data ranged from 15.71 to 25.63 nmol kg⁻¹, indicating a very strong CH4 source nearby.

Therefore, it is reasonable to say that the central Bohai Sea was laden with CH4 in the spring, summer, and autumn periods of measurement. Additional CH4 leaked from the seafloor and mixed up to the sea surface, leading to enhanced methane signals in the study area.

Another piece of evidence pointing to the bottom-up transportation of CH4 is derived from underway SST and SSS distributions. Around those selected oilfield-neighboring CH4 hotspots, SSS was always higher than that in the background area (surveyed areas excluding those hotspots indicated in Section 3.2), while SST was higher than that in the background area in the colder months (November and May) and lower in the warmer months (July and August) (Table 1). The oilfield-neighboring high CH4 associated with abnormal SSS and SST indicated upwelling or vertical mixing of the water column, presumably driven by gas seepages and/or oil spills at the seafloor, similar to the upwelling-associated high CH4 signals in surface water off Oregon (Rehder et al., 2002) and in the Baltic Sea (Gülzow et al., 2013).
Since the average depth of the Bohai Sea is only 18 m, the water column provides a short conduit for the seafloor-released CH₄ to rise to the surface layer. Although microbial consumption has been realized as a significant sink for spilled CH₄ in deep oceans (Westbrook et al., 2009; Kessler et al., 2011; Schmale et al., 2011), the strength of this process remains to be assessed in shallow sea areas such as the Bohai Sea.

3.4. Sea–air CH₄ fluxes and impacts of episodic oil–gas spills on CH₄ degassing in the Bohai Sea

To clarify the impacts of episodic oil–gas spills on CH₄ degassing, we calculated sea–air CH₄ fluxes at selected oilfield-neighborhood hotspots and in the background area separately. The \( m_{\text{atm}} \) was obtained from the monthly mean data at the neighboring regional atmospheric background monitoring site of Shangdianzi (Fig. 1). Table 1 summarizes the sea–air CH₄ flux estimation along the cruise tracks. In general, the survey averages of sea–air CH₄ fluxes in the background area followed the order May > August > July > November, in line with the sequence of sea surface \([\text{CH}_4]\) (Table 1). There was no doubt that the CH₄ hotspots mentioned in Section 3.2 showed enhanced CH₄ fluxes compared with the background area. In the spring and summer seasons, the survey averages of sea–air CH₄ fluxes in this study (1.60–18.71 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \)) were slightly higher than those in the Baltic Sea (0.69–14.00 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \)) (Gülzow et al., 2013). However, results from the present study in November (0.71–10.39 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \)) were much lower than those during the winter season in the Baltic Sea (1.30–98.93 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \)) (Gülzow et al., 2013).

The “Penglai 19-3” oil-spill accident occurred in June 2011 and lasted for several months, affecting a large area of ca. 6200 km², from the water nearby “Penglai 19” oilfield to coastal waters of Tangshan and Qinhuangdao Cities (State Oceanic Administration of China, 2012). In November 2011, i.e., 5 months after the accident first occurred, a high sea surface \([\text{CH}_4]\) level of 11.14 ± 1.03 nmol kg⁻¹ (3.4 times the background level) was still observed in the vicinity of the oil platform. In the next year, sea surface \([\text{CH}_4]\) declined to 6.60 ± 0.88 nmol kg⁻¹ (1.5 times the background level) in May, and to 5.12 ± 0.68 nmol kg⁻¹ (1.2 times the background level) in August (Table 1). The sea–air CH₄ fluxes in the vicinity of the Penglai 19 oilfield were 14.6 times the background value in November 2011, 2.5 times in May 2012, and almost declined to the background level in August 2012 (Fig. 7), indicating that impacts of the oil spill on sea–air CH₄ fluxes had essentially disappeared by the time of our study. The sea–air flux of CH₄ in August 2012 (3.00 ± 0.70 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \)) was fairly comparable to the value prior to the oil spill, based on data obtained in summer 2008 (Li et al., 2010).

The CFD oilfield also displayed high surface \([\text{CH}_4]\) and enhanced sea–air CH₄ fluxes in July/August 2012, compared with the background levels (Table 1 and Fig. 5). In the BZ square, the oilfield-induced CH₄ release was observed near Station 2 in August 2012, where surface \([\text{CH}_4]\) was greatly elevated to 19.83 ± 4.28 nmol kg⁻¹ (4.7 times the background level) and the sea–air flux increased to an intense value of 18.71 ± 4.50 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \) (8.2 times the background level).

Although sea–air CH₄ flux estimation is subjected to uncertainty in the assessment of gas transfer velocity and hydrodynamic conditions (Wanninkhof et al., 2009; Johnson et al., 2011), sea–air CH₄ fluxes in the Bohai Sea fell within the range of those in the

---

Fig. 6. Vertical profiles of CH₄ concentrations, temperature, and salinity. Sampling stations refer to Figs. 1 and 5d. Gray areas indicate the seafloor. Error bars represent the data range of duplicate water samples.

Fig. 7. Evolution of sea to air fluxes of CH₄ in the Penglai 19 cell and in the background area (surveyed areas excluding those hotspots indicated in Section 3.2).
world coastal and shelf areas (Table 2). The fluxes in the background area fell to the lower limit while the fluxes in hotspots were in the median to high level (Table 1 vs. Table 2).

In summary, the central Bohai Sea served as a net source of atmospheric methane during the survey periods. Episodic oil–gas spills at the seafloor of the Bohai Sea penetrated the water column, increasing surface $[\text{CH}_4]$ by up to 4.7 times and enhancing sea–air $\text{CH}_4$ flux by up to 14.6 times. This was quite different from the case of the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico, where the spilled $\text{CH}_4$ was trapped and consumed in deep water, leaving <0.01% of the emitted $\text{CH}_4$ to escape to the atmosphere during active flow (Kessler et al., 2011; Yvon-Lewis et al., 2011). However, the influence of episodic oil–gas spills on $\text{CH}_4$ degassing decreased gradually as time went by in the central Bohai Sea.

4. Concluding remarks

The high-resolution measurements in the central Bohai Sea showed tremendously spatiotemporal variations of sea surface $\text{CH}_4$ due to land inputs and local petroleum contamination. The Bohai Sea served as a net source of atmospheric methane during the survey periods. Human activities associated with marine oil and gas exploration induced $\text{CH}_4$ emission to the atmosphere. More efforts are needed to better evaluate sea–air fluxes of $\text{CH}_4$ in shallow-water oil and gas exploration areas in the world.
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