Electronic Supporting Information (ESI):

Non-oxidative strategy for monitoring peroxynitrite fluctuations in immune responses of tumorigenesis

Jiao Lu,^a Zan Li,^{a*} Qing Gao,^a Jiangkun Tan,^a Zhiwei Sun,^a Lingxin Chen,^{ab*} Jinmao You^{ab*}

^aKey Laboratory of Life-Organic Analysis of Shandong Province, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, P. R. China. ^bCAS Key Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes and Ecological Remediation, Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yantai 264003, P. R. China. *Correspondence authors: lilizanzan@163.com, jmyou6304@163.com, lxchen@yic.ac.cn

ABSTRACT: Phagocyte respiratory burst in immune response generate enormous amounts of ROS to fulfill primary defense against neoplasia. However, the beneficial functions associated with ROS especially the potent oxidant/nucleophile peroxynitrite, in immunological process is still ambiguous. Herein we report the construction and biological assessment of cyanine-based fluorescent biosensors which were based on non-oxidative strategy for peroxynitrite detection. The established nonoxidative strategy is comprised of nucleophilic substitution and nano-aggregates formation initiated by peroxynitrite. The proposed non-oxidative strategy in this study could maintain cellular oxidative stress in the critical process of detection and preserve homeostasis of cell metabolism. The remarkable detection sensitivity, reaction selectivity, and spectral photo-stability of our biosensors enabled us to visualize endogenous peroxynitrite levels in immune stimulated phagocytes. With the aid of basal peroxynitrite imaging in an acute peritonitis model, the visualization of peroxynitrite level variations in immune response of tumorigenesis was accomplished assisted by our biosensors. It is envisioned that our strategy provided a promising tool for early tumor diagnosis and evaluation of tumor suppression in the process of immune responses without disturbing the functions of ROS signaling transduction.

KEY WORDS: Non-oxidative strategy; Fluorescence imaging; H-aggregates; Peroxynitrite; Immune responses; Tumorigenesis

Table of Contents

General methods	S3
Spectroscopic data	
HPLC analysis	S9
Molecular dynamics simulation	S10
In vitro experiments	S11
In vivo experiments	S13
HR-MS and NMR spectra	S14
References	S18

Materials

All the regents and materials including 3-morpholinosydnoniminehydrochloride (SIN-1), 3, 3-bis (aminoethyl)-1-hydroxy-2-oxo-1-triazene (NOC-18), menadione sodium bisulfite (MSB), FeTMPyP, Lipopolysaccharides (LPS), interferon-gamma (IFN- γ), phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA), aminoguanidine (AG) and apocynin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Analytical condition is the Tris-HCl buffered saline solution (20 mM, pH=7.4, 10 % DMSO).

Determination of the in vitro detection limit

The detection limit was calculated based on the fluorescence titration curve of Cy_{717} and Cy_{683} . The fluorescence intensity of Cy_{717} and Cy_{683} was measured by ten times and the standard deviation of blank measurement was achieved. The detection limit was calculated with the following equation: ¹

Detection limit = $3\sigma/k$

Where σ is the standard deviation of blank measurement, k is the slop between the fluorescence intensity versus ONOO⁻ concentrations.

Determination of quantum yields

Cy717 and Cy683 were diluted to 10 μ M for absolute quantum yields (QY), and measurement was conducted by fluorometer (Edinburgh, FLS 1000). For Cy717, Ex = 720 nm and Cy683, Ex = 720 nm.²

Generation of ROS/RNS

Dilution of 30 % (10 mol/L) stock solution for H_2O_2 . Dilution of 70 % stock solution for *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP). Dilution of 8 % stock solution for ClO⁻. ROO⁻ was generated from AAPH (2, 2'-azo-bis (2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride). O_2^{-} was generated from KO₂ in DMSO solution. HO• was from the reaction of ferrous chloride (1 μ M) and H_2O_2 (100 μ M). NO₂⁻ was from sodium nitrite. NO₃⁻ was from sodium nitrate. •NO was generated from Potassium Nitroprusside Dihydrate. ONOO⁻ was prepared as reported, the ONOO⁻ concentration was estimated by using an extinction coefficient of 1670 ± 50 cm⁻¹M⁻¹ at 302 nm.³

Cell viability assay

RAW264.7 cells were cultured in a humidified incubator, which provided an

atmosphere of 5 % CO2 and 95 % air at 37 °C. The culture medium was DMEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, Gibco BRL) medium, which contained 10 % FBS (fetal bovine serum, Gibco BRL), 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Gibco BRL), and 100 U/mL penicillin (Gibco BRL). The inhibitory concentration of the compounds toward RAW264.7 cell line was evaluated by MTT assay. Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at 5×10^3 cells/well and allowed to grow 12 h prior to exposure to different concentrations of tested compounds for further 24 h. 20 µL of MTT solution/per well (5 mg/mL) was added and the cells were incubated for further 4 h, DMSO (150 µL/well) was then added for 10 min after removing the total medium. The absorbance at 490 nm was collected using a Varioskan Flash microplate reader.

Transmission electron microscope

10 ml of Cy683 (10 μ M) in Tris-HCl buffer solution (20 mM, DMSO/Tris-HCl=1:9 ν/ν , pH 7.4) was added ONOO⁻ (total concentration was 2.5 μ M). The resultant solution was dropped into the copper screen. After the solution was dried, TEM images were captured with JEM-2100 PLUS.

LC-MS Analysis

After the reaction of Cy717 and ONOO⁻, the solution was collected for LC-MS analysis. HPLC analysis used a linear gradient from 20 % methanol/ 80 % H₂O to 80 % methanol/20 % H₂O over 15 min using Agilent G6460A LC-MS spectrometer, Hypersil BDS, C18, 5 μ m, 4.6×250 mm column.

Molecular dynamics simulations

First-principle calculations were based on density functional theory (DFT), which was performed using Gaussian 09 program to derive the atomic electrostatic potential fit charges of Cy683. The details were as follows: Geometry optimization of Cy683 was performed at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level.

Spectroscopic data



Figure S1. The absorbance spectra of (a) Cy_{683} (10 μ M) and (b) Cy_{717} (10 μ M) at different times in Tris-HCl buffer solution.



Figure S2. Fluorescence intensity of (a) Cy717 (10 μ M) and (b) Cy683 (10 μ M) as a function of pH. Slit width 3 nm, excitation wavelength was 550 nm and 720 nm.



Figure S3. (a) UV-visible spectra of Cy_{717} (10 μ M) and Cy_{683} (10 μ M) in buffer solution. (b) Fluorescence emission spectra of Cy_{717} (10 μ M) and Cy_{683} (10 μ M) in buffer solution. All measurements were taken at 37°C.



Figure S4. Fluorescence quantum yields of Cy717 (10 μ M) and Cy683 (10 μ M) in buffer solution. All measurements were taken at 37 °C.



Figure S5. Fluorescence life time spectra of (a) Cy717 (10 μ M) and (b) Cy683 (10 μ M) in Tris-HCl (0.02 M) solution after adding concentrations of ONOO⁻ in 20 minutes. Ex. 543 nm.



Figure S6. Time dependent fluorescence responses of (a) Cy717 (10 μ M) and (b) Cy683 (10 μ M) toward ONOO⁻ (0 μ M, 10 μ M) during 0–900 s, probes were added at 120 s. The error bars represent ± S.D. (n=3).



Figure S7. (a) Ratiometric fluorescence responses (F650/F815) of Cy717 (10 μ M) toward ONOO⁻ (1 equivalent) and other ROS/RNS/RSS (100 equivalents). (b) Relative fluorescence responses (F815) of Cy683 (10 μ M) toward ONOO⁻ (1 equivalent) and other ROS/RNS/RSS (100 equivalents). The error bars represent ± S.D. (n=3).



Figure S8. Fluorescence responses of Cy717 (10 μ M) in Tris-HCl (0.02 M) solution with titration of sodium sulfide (0–1.0 mM). (a) Emission peaks at 602 nm, Ex. 550 nm. (b) Emission peaks of 815 nm, Ex. 720 nm. All measurements were taken at 37°C. The data were recorded 20 minutes after sodium sulfide was added.



Figure S9. Fluorescence responses of Cy683 (10 μ M) in Tris-HCl (0.02 M) solution with titration of sodium sulfide (0–1.0 mM). Ex. 720 nm. All measurements were taken at 37 °C. The data were recorded 20 minutes after sodium sulfide was added.



Figure S10. (a) The linear relationship between log(F650 nm/F815 nm) and concentrations of ONOO⁻. (b) The linear relationship between F815 and concentrations of ONOO⁻. (c) UV-visible spectra of Cy717 (10 μ M) in Tris-HCl (0.02 M) solution with titration of ONOO⁻ (0–2.5 μ M). All measurements were taken at 37 °C. The data were recorded 20 minutes after ONOO⁻ was added. The error bars represent ± S.D. (n=3).



Figure S11. ESI-MS spectrum of Cy565 and the intermediate compound, Enol A

HPLC analysis



Figure S12. LC-MS for Cy717. HPLC runs used a linear gradient from 20% methanol / 80% H₂O to 80% methanol / 20% H₂O over 15 minutes using Agilent G6460A LC-MS spectrometer, Hypersil BDS, C18, 5 μ m, 4.6×250 mm column. (a) Chromatogram of Cy717. (b) ESI-MS spectrum of Cy717.



Figure S13. LC-MS for **CMB-Acid**. HPLC runs used a linear gradient from 20% methanol/80% H_2O to 80% methanol/20% H_2O over 15 minutes using Agilent G6460A LC-MS spectrometer, Hypersil BDS, C18, 5µm, 4.6×250 mm column. (a) Chromatogram of **CMB-Acid**. (b) Negative mode ESI-MS spectrum of **CMB-Acid**.



Figure S14. LC-MS for Cy565. HPLC runs used a linear gradient from 20% methanol / 80% H₂O to 80% methanol / 20% H₂O over 15 minutes using Agilent G6460A LC-MS spectrometer, Hypersil BDS, C18, 5 μ m, 4.6×250 mm column. (a) Chromatogram of Cy565. (b) ESI-MS spectrum of Cy565.

Molecular dynamics simulation



Figure S15. Molecular dynamics simulation of Cy717 in aqueous solution.

In vitro experiments



Figure S16. Cytotoxic effects of (a) Cy717 and (b) Cy683. RAW 264.7 cells were incubated with various concentrations of probes for 24 h. Cell viability was evaluated with MTT test. The error bars represent \pm S.D. (n= 6).



Figure S17. (a) Confocal fluorescence images of RAW 264.7 macrophages incubated with Cy717 (10 μ M) at different time points: 0.5 h, 12 h, 24 h. Images were acquired by using channel 1: λ_{ex} =543 nm, λ_{em} = 560–750 nm, channel 2: λ_{ex} =720 nm, λ_{em} = 750–850 nm. (b) The corresponding fluorescence intensities of group (a). Scale bar represents 10 μ m.



Figure S18. (a) Confocal fluorescence images of RAW 264.7 macrophages incubated with Cy683 (10 μ M) at different time points: 0.5 h, 12 h, 24 h. Images were acquired by using channel 1: λ_{ex} =543 nm, λ_{em} =560–750 nm, channel 2: λ_{ex} =720 nm, λ_{em} =750–850 nm. (b) The corresponding fluorescence intensities of group (a). Scale bar represents 10 μ m.



Figure S19. Evaluation of exogenous ONOO⁻ detection by Cy717 (10 μ M) and Cy683 (10 μ M) through confocal imaging in HepG-2 cells. Cells were loaded with Cy717 and Cy683 for 30 min respectively, and then treated with SIN-1 (50 μ M), FeTMPyP (50 μ M) was used to decomposite ONOO⁻. The pseudo-color images

illustrated the ratio values of channel 1 versus channel 2. Images were acquired by using channel 1: λ_{ex} =543 nm, λ_{em} =560-750 nm, channel 2: λ_{ex} =720 nm, λ_{em} =750-850 nm. Scale bar represents 10 µm.



Figure S20. Confocal fluorescence images of RAW264.7 cells exposed to immune stimulus. Cells were treated with immune stimulus and then loaded with 10 μ M Cy683 for 30 minutes. (a1) Control. (a2) LPS (1 μ g/ mL) and IFN- γ (100 ng/mL) for 16 h, followed by PMA (500 nM) for 1 h. (a3) Apocynin (100 μ M) for 1 h, LPS (1 μ g/ mL) and IFN- γ (100 ng/mL) for 16 h, followed by PMA (500 nM) for 1 h. (a4) AG (5 mM) for 4 h, LPS (1 μ g/ mL) and IFN- γ (100 ng/mL) for 16 h, followed by PMA (500 nM) for 1 h. (b) The corresponding relative fluorescence intensity of group (a). Scale bar represents 10 μ m.





Figure S21. H&E staining of main organs from control mouse (above) and treated mouse after 24 hours' administration. Cy717 (1 mM in saline, 20 μ L) and Cy683 (1 mM in saline, 20 μ L) were intravenously injected in the tail. Scale bar: 200 μ m.



Figure S22. Imaging of endogenous ONOO⁻ fluxes in acute inflammatory mice. Cy683 was injected in the inflamed site, images were acquired at 30 minutes after the injection. Channel 2: Ex. 720 nm, Em. 750–900 nm. (a1) The control group were treated with saline. (a2) Mice were stimulated with LPS for 4 h. (a3). Mice were treated with apocynin, and then stimulated with LPS. (b) The corresponding relative fluorescence intensity of group (a). The error bars represent \pm S.D. (n=3).



Figure S23. In vivo imaging of endogenous ONOO⁻ generation in the immune responses of tumorigenesis. Cy683 was injected in the adjacent tumor cell-injected sites, images were acquired at 30 minutes after the injection. Channel 2: Ex. 720 nm, Em. 750–900 nm. (a1) Fluorescence images of tumor cell-injected mice. The image was captured after the cells were injected immediately. (a2) The 4th day after the tumor cells were injected. (a3) The 8th day after the tumor cells were injected. (a4) The 12th day after the tumor cells were injected. (b) The quantitative relative fluorescence intensity of group (a). (c) The volume values of HepG-2 tumors in group (a). The error bars represent \pm S.D. (n=3).





Figure S24. HR-MS spectrum of Cy565 in methanol



Figure S25. HR-MS spectrum of Cy683 in methanol



Figure S26. HR-MS spectrum of Cy717 in methanol



Figure S27. ¹H NMR of Cy565 in CDCl₃







Figure S31. ¹H NMR of Cy717 in CDCl₃



Figure S32. ¹³C NMR of Cy717 in CDCl₃

References

- (1) Zhu, H.; Fan, J.; Wang, J.; Mu, H.; Peng, X., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 12820.
- (2) Brewer, T. F.; Chang, C. J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 10886.

(3) Peng, T.; Wong, N. K.; Chen, X.; Chan, Y. K.; Ho, D. H.; Sun, Z.; Hu, J. J.; Shen, J.; El-Nezami, H.; Yang, D., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 11728.