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Environmental significance statement

We propose a novel molecular imprinting fluorescence nanosensor via a facile surface imprinting polymerization one-pot synthesis strategy for highly selective and sensitive recognition and detection of 4-nitrophenol (4-NP) on the basis of electron transfer induced fluorescence quenching mechanism. By combining the high selectivity of molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) and the strong fluorescence property of quantum dots (QDs), the QD@MIPs nanosensor was demonstrated highly selective and sensitive towards 4-NP, with satisfactory rapidity, accuracy, reliability and practicability. This sensing platform is readily constructed in solution without any other labeling or modification steps, and demonstrated remarkable advantages such as simplicity, rapidity and universality, high selectivity and sensitivity, and good reliability and practicability. This work focuses on the microanalysis of trace 4-NP in complicated environmental water samples by using a kind of new high-effective nanoscale sensing material.
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ABSTRACT: A novel molecular imprinting fluorescence nanosensor was constructed via a facile surface imprinting polymerization one-pot synthesis strategy for highly selective and sensitive recognition and detection of 4-nitrophenol (4-NP) based on electron-transfer induced fluorescence quenching mechanism. 2-Aminoethyl methacrylate hydrochloride (AMA) was first used as a surfactant to interact with aqueous carboxyl-CdTe quantum dots (QDs) and the resultant AMA-modified QDs were used as core support and fluorescence signal source. Then, ultrathin 4-NP imprinted shell (ca. 4 nm) was formed on the QDs surface (i.e., QD@MIPs) by a simple facile free radical polymerization step. The one-pot synthesis simplified the imprinting process and shortened the experimental period. The imprinted sites bound the template of 4-NP efficiently through the hydrogen bonding interactions and showed excellent recognition selectivity for 4-NP over its analogues with a high imprinting factor of 9.1. The electron transfer process between QDs and 4-NP led to significant fluorescence quenching of the QD@MIPs nanosensor, by which 4-NP could be sensed, and high detection sensitivity up to 0.051 µM was attained. Furthermore, the sensor was successfully applied to determine 4-NP in seawater and lake water samples, presenting high recoveries in the range of 92.7–109.2% at three spiking levels with the relative standard deviation within 3.1–4.8%. The simple, rapid, reliable QD@MIPs based method proved potentially applicable for the highly selective and sensitive fluorescent determination of trace 4-NP in complicated environmental water samples.
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1. Introduction

With the development of chemical industry, a large number of refractory poisonous organic pollutants in industrial wastewater have been increasingly discharged to the environment. Nitrophenols are among the most common toxic persistent pollutants and widely used as the synthetic intermediates of pesticides, medicines, dyes, plastics and other fine chemicals and as the hydrolytic products of some organophosphorus insecticides.\(^1,2\) 4-Nitrophenol (4-NP), a kind of common and important nitrophenol, has been listed as priority toxic pollutants by United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) due to its healthy and toxicological effects; a Lifetime Health Advisory (LHA) level of 60 µg/L for 4-NP in drinking water has been established.\(^3\) Currently reported traditional detection methods for trace 4-NP mainly include spectrophotometry,\(^4,5\) chromatographic techniques,\(^6\) high-performance liquid chromatography,\(^7-9\) electrochemical methods,\(^10,11\) and capillary electrophoresis.\(^12,13\) However, these methods often require extensive sample preparation and separation procedures, owing to the complexity of the sample matrices and the low content of 4-NP. Also, they still suffer from some problems such as complicated instruments, inconvenient derivatization and toxic derivatization reagents, high cost, time-consuming process, and particularly low selectivity.\(^14\) Thus, it is important to develop simple, rapid, efficient approaches for the selective and sensitive determination of 4-NP.

In recent years, molecular imprinting has proven to be a versatile approach to the preparation of synthetic receptors with tailor-made recognition sites\(^15\) and the resultant molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) can be used to separate and detect specific molecules quite effectively, along with low cost, easy preparation and high selectivity.\(^16\) The typical MIPs are polymerized by functional monomers, cross-linkers and polymerization initiators in the presence of the templates in the solvents. After the removal of the template, recognition sites complementary in size, shape, and functionality to the template are formed in the 3D
polymer network.\textsuperscript{17} Traditional MIPs are usually prepared by bulk imprinting but have many disadvantages including poor binding capacity, incomplete template removal and low binding kinetics,\textsuperscript{18} due to their shells too thick to extract the template molecules completely. To overcome these limits, recently, surface imprinting technique has been employed for preparing MIPs, by which recognition sites can be formed on the material surface.\textsuperscript{19} Furthermore, core–shell structural surface imprinted polymers have shown the superiority of excellent selectivity, faster mass transfer, better synthesis reproducibility and improved binding capacity.

On the other hand, MIP-based fluorescence sensors have been increasingly developed owing to their high selectivity and sensitivity for detection of low contents target analytes from complex samples.\textsuperscript{20} Semiconductor nanocrystal quantum dots (QDs), with their excellent photostability, size-tunability, a broad range of excitation wavelengths and narrow luminescence spectra and chemically functional surfaces, have been widely used as fluorescence labels.\textsuperscript{21–23} The MIP-based QDs fluorescent sensors have played important parts in detecting various trace analytes, which combine the merits of high selectivity of MIPs recognition and high sensitivity of fluorescence detection.\textsuperscript{24,25} Usually, the construction of MIP-based QDs sensors requires using matrixes (e.g., silica) as substrates or embedding QDs into highly cross-linked MIPs. However, this will make the fluorescence of QDs become weak and thereby reduce the sensing sensitivity, along with the laborious and time-consuming process.\textsuperscript{26,27} So, without matrixes and embedding is highly desirable to develop MIP-based QDs sensors.

Therefore, herein, via direct imprinting on QDs surface without using the common matrix materials or embedding process, we developed a simple facile one-pot synthesis strategy to construct a novel MIP-based QDs sensor namely QD@MIPs for 4-NP determination. 2-Aminoethyl methacrylate hydrochloride (AMA) was employed as a
polymerizable surfactant to coat the carboxyl-CdTe QDs by electrostatic interaction, and thus the aqueous QDs were firmly stabilized and showed strong fluorescence. Then the QDs were used as support materials and fluorescent signal source, and ultrathin imprinted shell was prepared on QDs surface by facile free radical polymerization process using 4-NP as template, acrylamide as functional monomer and N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide (MBA) as cross-linker. The constructed QD@MIPs sensor was well characterized and its recognition/sensing properties were investigated in detail. The developed QD@MIPs based sensing method was validated and successfully applied for the selective and sensitive detection of 4-NP in seawater and lake water samples.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and materials

2-Aminoethyl methacrylate hydrochloride (AMA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China). Absolute ethanol, acetonitrile, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), Tellurium powder, cadmium nitrate (Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$), thioglycollic acid (TGA), 4-nitrophenol (4-NP), phenol, nitrobenzene (NB), 2-nitrophenol (2-NP), acrylamide (AAM), potassium persulfate and phosphate buffered saline were supplied by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide (MBA), sodium borohydride (NaBH$_4$) and bisphenol A (BPA) were obtained from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). Estradiol and 4-chlorophenol (4-CP) were purchased from J&K Technology Ltd. (Beijing, China).

2.2. Instrumentation

Fluorescence measurements were taken on a Fluoromax-4 Spectrofluorometer (Horiba Scientific), and the fluorescence lifetimes were measured on an Edinburgh OB920FP fluorescence and phosphorescence lifetime spectrometer. UV-vis spectra were measured on a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000/2000C spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
Waltham, MA). The morphological evaluation was recorded by a transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-1230, operating at 100 kV). Zeta potential and dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements were performed on a Malvern Zetasizer Nano-ZS90 (ZEN3590, UK). Energy dispersive spectrum (EDS) was measured by a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi S-4800 FE-SEM, operating at 5 kV) equipped with an EDAX-PHOE-NIX energy spectrum probe. Elemental analysis was performed using a Vario Micro-cube elementar analyzer (Elementar Company, Germany). FT-IR analyses were carried out by a FT-IR spectrometer (Thermo Nicolet Corporation, USA).

2.3. One-pot synthesis of MIP-based QDs sensor

One-pot synthesis strategy was utilized to construct a MIP-based QDs sensor. Firstly, green emissive TGA-modified CdTe QDs were synthesized in aqueous phase according to a reported method\(^{17}\) with slight modification. Briefly, 40 mg of NaBH\(_4\) and 38.3 mg of tellurium powder were added to 1.5 mL absolute ethanol and 0.5 mL ultrapure water to form a mixture, which was reacted for 4 h in 40 °C. 92.4 mg of Cd(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)-4H\(_2\)O and 63 µL of TGA were dispersed in 75 mL of ultrapure water, and the pH value of the solution was then adjusted to 9 with 1.0 M NaOH. The solution mixture was deoxygenated by purging nitrogen for at least 30 min. Next, 1 mL of freshly prepared NaHTe aqueous solution was transferred into the above mixture under stirring. After boiling and refluxed for 2 h, the green emissive TGA-modified CdTe QDs were obtained.

Then, AMA modification was carried out and the surface imprinting sensor based on AMA-modified CdTe QDs, marked as QD@MIPs, was prepared via a facile free radical polymerization process. Briefly, 200 µL of AMA solution (1 g·L\(^{-1}\)) and 5 mL of aqueous CdTe QDs were added to 10 mL of ultrapure water under vigorously stirring for 1 h. Subsequently, 5 mg of 4-NP, 10 mg of AAM and 5 mg of MBA were dissolved in this solution for pre-polymerization. After purged with N\(_2\) for 30 min, 4 mg of potassium persulfate was added,
and kept stirring at 40 °C overnight in the dark. Finally, the products were centrifuged and washed with ethanol/acetonitrile (8:2, v/v) to remove 4-NP, and then washed with ultrapure water for three times. The resultant QD@MIPs were dispersed in 3 mL of ultrapure water for further use. As a control, the non-imprinted polymers (QD@NIPs) were prepared in the same manner but without adding template 4-NP.

2.4. Fluorescence measurement

All the fluorescence (FL) intensities were measured under the same conditions: the excitation and emission slit widths were both 6 nm and the excitation wavelength was set at 420 nm with a recording emission range of 440–700 nm. QD@MIPs and QD@NIPs were added into the 4-NP solutions at known concentrations and the final concentration of MIPs or NIPs was 0.02 mg mL$^{-1}$. Before measurement, the lamp intensity was calibrated.

2.5. Analysis of water samples

Water samples were utilized to examine the practical applicability of the QD@MIPs for the detection of 4-NP. Seawater samples were randomly collected from the surface seawater of Yellow Sea and lake water samples were acquired from San Yuan Lake, located in the coastal zone region of Yantai City. And the water samples were all filtered with 0.45 µm microfiltration membrane to remove the suspended particles before use. The spiked seawater and lake water samples diluted 100-fold with different concentrations of 4-NP were used to validate the accuracy and applicability of the QD@MIPs.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Preparation and possible sensing principle of QD@MIPs

Fig. 1 illustrates the preparation process of QD@MIPs. As seen, aqueous carboxyl-CdTe QDs, used as core support materials and fluorescent signal source, was firstly stabilized by modifying AMA. The zeta potential of CdTe QDs solution increased significantly from $-30.1$
to –19.3 mV indicated that the negatively charged CdTe QDs easily interact with the positively charged AMA for the formation of the desired structure by electrostatic attraction.\textsuperscript{28,29} The polymerizable surfactant AMA provided the isopropenyl on the QDs surface which played a key role to copolymerize with AAM and MBA. Then the 4-NP imprinted shell was formed on the surface of AMA-modified QDs nanoparticles by one simple facile free radical polymerization step, using AAM as functional monomer, MBA as cross-linker, and potassium persulfate as initiator. After removing the embedded template 4-NP, the QD@MIPs with specific imprinted cavities (sites) were obtained. The MIPs shell layer could not only protect the fluorescence of QDs and effectively decrease QDs toxicity but also facilitate high accessibility into binding sites and rapid mass transfer of template molecules.

Also, Fig. 1 schematically shows the recognition process and possible sensing principle for 4-NP by the prepared QD@MIPs. As seen, the electron-rich amino group at the surface of the imprinted sites would efficiently bind the hydroxyl group of template 4-NP through the strong hydrogen bonding interactions. The fluorescence quenching could be attributed to the electron transfer between QDs and 4-NP. As seen from Fig. S1A, the electrons of QDs were excited from the ground state (valence band) to the conduction band and transited to the initial condition to generate the green emission (Fig. S1A (a)). The UV-vis absorption of 4-NP and 4-NP ion was at around 229, 316 and 415 nm, respectively (Fig. S1B (b)), which is near to the band gap of the QDs as revealed by the absorption spectra of the QD@MIPs (Fig. S1B (c)). Thus, with the existence of 4-NP, the electrons at the conductive band of the QDs could directly transfer to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of UV and the visible band of the 4-NP molecules or ions.\textsuperscript{30} Since all the energy bands of the 4-NP molecules and 4-NP ions were higher than the emission of QDs, the excited electrons of QDs tended to go back to the ground state without emitting fluorescence, which would lead to the fluorescence
quenching of QDs, as illustrated in Fig. S1A (b). Meanwhile, the absorption spectrum of 4-NP had no spectral overlap with the emission spectrum of CdTe QDs, as shown in Fig. S1B. Therefore, we concluded the energy transfer wouldn’t be the dominant mechanistic pathway and further confirmed that the fluorescence quenching behavior was due to the electron transfer process. As is well known, fluorescence quenching generally includes dynamic quenching and static quenching. Fluorescence lifetime measurements experiments are often carried out to identify the type and mechanism of quenching. The average lifetime of QD@MIPs was attained of 15.93 ns and the lifetime of QD@MIPs with the presence of 4-NP was 15.37 ns. That the lifetime of QD@MIPs was almost unchanged upon 4-NP addition could indicate the quenching belongs to static quenching. On the other hand, the comparison in lifetime values of QD@MIPs (15.93 ns) with that of QDs (20.00 ns) and QD@NIPs (18.32 ns) suggested that the polymeric shell in the nanoparticles surface has not significant influence on the parameter. Consequently, a new QD@MIPs sensor was prepared, and it could recognize and detect 4-NP fluorescently based on electron transfer induced static fluorescence quenching mechanism.

Fig. 1.

3.2 Characterization of the QD@MIPs

The morphological structures of QDs, QD@MIPs and QD@NIPs were investigated by TEM. As shown in Fig. S2A, CdTe QDs nanoparticles had good dispersion with the average diameter of ca. 1–2 nm. The QD@MIPs and QD@NIPs had similar morphology and also exhibited good dispersion (Fig. S2B and S2C). The imprinting shell thickness was ca. 4 nm, which provided excellent imprinting sites on the modified QDs surface for special recognition. The size distribution of nanoparticles was obtained by DLS measurement as depicted in Fig. S3. It tends to overestimate the diameters of nanoparticles measured by TEM images because
DLS measured hydrodynamic diameters. The intensity contribution versus diameters of nanoparticles displayed a good size-distribution, and most of the hydrodynamic diameter of QDs was found to be < 4 nm (Fig. S3A), and the dominant distribution peak of QD@MIPs and QD@NIPs were around 8 nm (Fig. S3B and S3C). In addition, the quite low ratio size-distribution of large diameters also suggested the slight agglomeration of the nanoparticles, which is consistent with TEM results (Fig. S2D–F). Overall, therefore, the ultrathin imprinting shell layer could contribute shorter response time and higher sensitivity for the nanosensor.

EDS analysis was carried out to confirm the nature of the surface modification of the CdTe precursor nanoparticle, as recorded in Fig. S4 and Table S1. The presence of S, Te and Cd suggested the TGA modified CdTe QDs were used as support material for surface modification to produce QD@MIPs and QD@NIPs. The obviously increased percentages of both carbon (46.34%) and oxygen (36.35%) for QD@MIPs, as well as carbon (35.56%) and oxygen (34.50%) for QD@NIPs, might well result from the subsequently coated polymer materials, and suggested the occurrence of imprinting. Furthermore, elemental analysis was performed to examine nitrogen content. The marked enlargement in the nitrogen atomic composition from 0.203% for QDs to 1.06% for QD@MIPs revealed that amine groups were successfully introduced onto the surface of QDs. Meanwhile, imprinting proved to play an important role when compared to the nitrogen ratio (0.688%) for QD@NIPs. These experimental data were able to provide direct evidences on the chemical modification of particles.

The fluorescence spectra of QDs, AMA-modified QDs and QD@MIPs were displayed in Fig. 2. As seen, the fluorescence intensity of the CdTe QDs (Fig. 2(a)) was reduced by 2.7% after modification of AMA (Fig. 2(b)), which was mainly attributed to the electrostatic interaction between the QDs and AMA. After polymerization, the fluorescence spectra of
QD@MIPs showed a slight red shift and the fluorescence intensity partly decreased (Fig. 2(c)), in comparison with that of the AMA-modified QDs (Fig. 2(b)). This phenomenon can be explained as follows: a single charge close to the QDs surface could generate an electric field, and it was sufficiently large to cause fluorescence quenching and red shift. Further, the resultant QD@MIPs could increase the effective size of the QDs and reduce the quantum size effect, which would cause a red shift of the photoluminescence maximum. The results clearly suggested that the QD@MIPs fluorescence sensor was attained.

Fig. 2.

The FT-IR spectra of QDs, QD@MIPs and QD@NIPs were shown in Fig. 3. It can be found that the QD@MIPs and QD@NIPs showed similar locations of the major bands owing to the same composition. As seen from Fig. 3(b) and 3(c), the peaks at around 1646 cm\(^{-1}\) could be assigned as the C=O bond vibration from amide band and the bands at 1260 cm\(^{-1}\) could be attributed to the stretching vibration of C–N. Meanwhile, the characteristic peaks of amino groups were around 1554 cm\(^{-1}\), verifying the introduction of amine ligand. All the bonds further confirmed that the QD@MIPs nanosensor was successfully fabricated by the composing of AMA, AAM and MBA at the surface of QDs nanoparticles.

Fig. 3.

3.3 Condition optimization on fluorescence properties of the QD@MIPs sensor

Condition optimization on the fluorescence properties of QD@MIPs sensor was performed mainly including solution acidity and response time as follows. Fig. 4A shows the effects of pH on fluorescence quenching capacity of QD@MIPs in the presence of 4-NP. The quenching efficiency, defined as \((F_0 - F)/F_0\), was used as the index of quenching capacity. It is known to all, high acidity will affect the fluorescence intensity of CdTe QDs, especially, when
the pH≤4, the fluorescence intensity of CdTe QDs can be quenched totally.\textsuperscript{32} On the other hand, high alkalinity will intensively destroy the interaction between 4-NP and imprinting sites. So, the pH range of 6.0–9.0 was tested. As shown in Fig. 4A, when the solution pH increased from 6.0–7.0, fluorescence quenching efficiency increased obviously, and then changed slightly within the pH of 7.0–9.0. The increasing quenching efficiency is very likely owing to the high fluorescence efficiency of QDs\textsuperscript{33} and strong binding of imprinting sites towards 4-NP. In the range of pH 7.0–9.0, pH had slight influence on fluorescence intensity of the QD@MIPs, which made the sensor suitable for potential applications in real water samples as the environmental water samples are usually (near) neutral or slightly alkaline. For convenience, hence, subsequent experiments were carried out at pH 7.5.

The response time of the QD@MIPs sensor was also tested in order to assess the accessibility to binding sites. As shown from Fig. 4B, within 0–5.5 min, the fluorescence intensity decreased significantly, while within 5.5–8 min the decrease amounts became small, after which the curve became flat and equilibrium was reached. The short response time could be attributed to the thin imprinting layer of the QD@MIPs sensor, which offered fast mass transfer and high site accessibility toward the template. Under our experimental conditions, a stable fluorescence intensity was reached after a response time of 8 min. Accordingly, 8 min was chosen as the response time in further experiments for the determination of 4-NP.

\textbf{Fig. 4.}

\textit{3.4 Sensitivity and selectivity of the QD@MIPs sensor}

Under the optimal conditions, the ability of the QD@MIPs sensors for quantitative determination of 4-NP was further evaluated. In this system, the fluorescence quenching followed the Stern–Volmer equation\textsuperscript{34}

\[ \frac{F_0}{F} = 1 + K_{sv}C_q \]
where $F_0$ and $F$ are the fluorescence intensity of QDs in the absence and presence of the quencher, respectively, $K_{sv}$ is the Stern–Volmer quenching constant, and $C_q$ is the quencher concentration. Herein, the ratio of $K_{sv,MIP}$ to $K_{sv,NIP}$ was defined as the imprinting factor.

As shown in Fig. 5A, the fluorescence intensity of QD@MIPs was quenched gradually with the increasing of 44NP concentrations, presenting an excellent linearity over the range of 0.2–8.0 µM with a correlation coefficient of 0.9998 (Inset of Fig. 5A). Based on $3\sigma/s$, in which $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the blank measurements and $s$ is the sensitivity of the calibration graph, the limit of detection (LOD) was estimated to be 0.051 µM. The value is much lower than the LHA level of 60 µg/L (0.43 µM) for 4-NP in drinking water regulated by EPA. Therefore, the developed QD@MIPs sensor holds great potentials as an ideal alternative to determination of trace 4-NP in real water samples for pollution monitoring. On the contrast, as seen in Fig. 5B, the fluorescence intensity of QD@NIPs only slightly decreased at the same concentration of 4-NP. Consequently, a high imprinting factor could be obtained of 9.1. The decrease of fluorescence intensity of QD@MIPs sensor was much larger than that of QD@NIPs, which suggested that the presence of MIPs layers greatly enhanced the quenching efficiency and thereby enlarged the spectral sensitivity to 4-NP owing to the formation of specific recognition sites in the QD@MIPs with predetermined selectivity toward 4-NP.

**Fig. 5.**

Meanwhile, the selectivity of the MIPs sensor was investigated by measuring the fluorescent response of QD@MIPs toward 4-NP and its analogues including phenolic compounds such as 2-NP, 4-CP, phenol, BPA and estradiol and nitro-compounds such as NB. As seen from Fig. 6, fluorescence quenching amount of the QD@MIPs was the highest for 4-NP, followed by 2-NP and 4-CP, larger than NB, phenol, BPA and estradiol which caused
very close and quite low fluorescence quenching. In the synthesis process, a larger number of specific imprinting/recognition sites complementary with the template molecules in shape, size, and functionality were generated on the MIPs; hence the template 4-NP could be strongly rebound to the MIPs and then produce significant fluorescence quenching. As for 2-NP, its spatial structure is different from 4-NP; as for 4-CP, its electron-withdrawing property of chlorin group is weaker than that of nitro groups. So, the 2-NP and 4-CP molecules would partly bind the imprinting sites, resulting in certain fluorescence quenching. This observation for NB with quite low fluorescence quenching amounts might be ascribed that the NB does not contain the functional group of hydroxyl and thereby producing very weak binding with imprinting sites. As for phenol, BPA and estradiol, much different from 4-NP in size and spatial structure, they were not complementary to the recognition sites and thereby had less chance to access. So, it is quite difficult to quench QDs fluorescence. Consequently, the QD@MIPs sensor had high selectivity toward the template 4-NP. In contrast, the QD@NIPs sensor showed similar and quite low fluorescence quenching for 4-NP and the six possibly interfering compounds. Hence, the molecularly imprinted nanosensor could be applied to the selective detection of 4-NP.

**Fig. 6.**

### 3.5. Practical application of the sensor to environmental water samples

In order to further evaluate the practical applicability of the MIPs sensor, 4-NP was detected by the QD@MIPs in real water samples diluted 100-fold spiked with different concentrations of 4-NP, including seawater and lake water. The averaged recovery was obtained with relative standard deviation (RSD) based on three triplicate measurements for each concentration. As listed in Table 1, satisfactory recoveries were attained in a range of 92.7–106.0% with RSDs of 3.1–4.8% for the spiked seawater samples. As well as, the
recoveries for the spiked lake water samples were 102.3−109.2% with RSDs of 3.4−4.7%.

The results indicated that the MIPs sensor was feasible for accurate determination of trace 4-NP in complex environmental water samples, possessing great potential for practical applications.

Table 1.

3.6 Method performance comparison

The performance of the developed fluorescence nanosensor for detection of 4-NP was compared with that of some reported methods, as listed in Table 2.\textsuperscript{14,35−39} As seen from the table, all the systems are based on fluorescence quenching, which results from electron transfer or energy transfer from the fluorescent labels to 4-NP, owing to the possible formation of hydrogen bonds. The fluorescence sources/labels, such as carbon dots\textsuperscript{35} can be directly used to detect 4-NP with high sensitivity but low selectivity due to the fluorescence quenching by some metal ions or other structure analogs. Most of the MIPs based fluorescent materials are prepared by the Stöber method,\textsuperscript{14,36,37,39} and interestingly we firstly present a facile one-pot synthesis strategy for preparing QD@MIPs fluorescence nanosensor with higher sensitivity. Although our attained sensitivity is slightly lower than that reported,\textsuperscript{35,38} excitingly, the imprinting factor of 9.1 was much higher than others,\textsuperscript{14,37−39} which indicated a super selectivity of the developed nanosensor. Also, the QD@MIPs nanosensor was successfully applied to determine 4-NP in seawater and lake water samples. Therefore, overall, the present analytical method in our study offers remarkable advantages such as convenience, rapidity and universality, high sensitivity and selectivity, and good reliability and practicability.

Table 2.
4. Conclusions

In conclusion, a novel QD@MIPs nanosensor for fluorescent determination of trace 4-NP in environmental water samples based on electron-transfer induced fluorescence quenching mechanism, was successfully developed by a facile surface imprinting polymerization one-pot synthesis strategy. By combining the high selectivity of MIPs and the strong fluorescence property of QDs, the nanosensor was demonstrated highly selective and sensitive towards 4-NP, with satisfactory rapidity, accuracy, reliability and practicality. Besides, this sensing system provided other advantages and possible inspirations: (1) The use of a simple, inexpensive commercially available AMA containing both amino group and isopropenyl offers direct imprinting and avoids any design/synthesis or using matrix materials or embedding process. (2) This sensing platform is readily constructed in solution without any other labeling or modification steps. (3) With the fast development of versatile MIPs and gradual concern for QDs or other signal sources, more efforts still need to be made to develop high-performance composite material based fluorescence sensors for potential applications such as water pollution monitoring and abatement.
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Table 1. Spiked recoveries and RSDs (%) for the determination of 4-NP in seawater and lake water samples using the QD@MIPs sensor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Added (µM)</th>
<th>Found (µM)</th>
<th>Recovery±RSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>106.0±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>103.1±4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>92.7±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>109.2±4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>107.5±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>102.3±3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Average value from three individual experiments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Linear range (µM)</th>
<th>LOD (µM)</th>
<th>IF&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Real sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-dots&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Energy transfer from C-dots to 4-NP</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>River water</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-coated carbon dot incorporated with</td>
<td>Fluorescence resonance energy</td>
<td>0–12</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tap water, urine</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;: Eu&lt;sup&gt;3+&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; nanoparticles</td>
<td>Electron transfer (FRET) and photoinduced electron transfer (PET) between CDs and 4-NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tap water, urine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-coated GQDs&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Electron transfer from GQDs to 4-NP</td>
<td>0.14–21</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Tap water, river water</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-capped ZnO nanorods</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.5–14</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>5.918</td>
<td>River water</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-capped Mn-doped ZnS QDs</td>
<td>Electron transfer from Mn-doped ZnS QDs to 4-NP</td>
<td>0.1–40</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>2.113</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-C-dots</td>
<td>Electron transfer from C-dots to 4-NP</td>
<td>0.2–50</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>River water</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-coated</td>
<td>Electron transfer from</td>
<td>0.2–8</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Seawater, lake water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMA-modified QDs</td>
<td>AMA-modified QDs to 4-NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Imprinting factor.  <sup>b</sup> Carbon dots.  <sup>c</sup> Eu<sup>3+</sup>-activated yttrium orthovanadate.  <sup>d</sup> Graphene quantum dots.
Figure caption

Fig. 1. Schematic illustration for the preparation process and possible detection principle of QD@MIPs nanosensor.

Fig. 2. Fluorescence spectra of (a) QDs, (b) AMA-modified CdTe QDs, and (c) QD@MIPs.

Fig. 3. FT-IR spectra of (a) QDs, (b) QD@MIPs, and (c) QD@NIPs.

Fig. 4. (A) Effect of pH on fluorescence intensities of QD@MIPs in the presence of 2 μM 4-NP. (B) Fluorescence response time of QD@MIPs for 4-NP. The fluorescence intensity was recorded at the wavelength of 549 nm.

Fig. 5. Fluorescence spectra of (A) QD@MIPs, and (B) QD@NIPs with addition of the different concentrations of 4-NP (0–8 μM). The inset graphs show the Stern–Volmer plots for (A) QD@MIPs, and (B) QD@NIPs. Experimental conditions: concentration of QD@MIPs or QD@NIPs was 0.02 mg·mL⁻¹; excited light, 420 nm; silt widths of excitation and emission, 6 nm.

Fig. 6. Fluorescence quenching amounts of QD@MIPs and QD@NIPs for 4-NP and its analogues (upper), respectively, and the chemical structures of 4-NP and its analogues (below). Experimental conditions: concentration of QD@MIPs or QD@NIPs, 0.02 mg·mL⁻¹; 4-NP and its analogues, 2 μM respectively; excited light, 420 nm; silt widths of excitation and emission, 6 nm.
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Fig. 6.
A facile surface imprinting polymerization one-pot synthesis strategy based on electron-transfer induced fluorescence quenching mechanism for fluorescent detection of 4-nitrophenol.